r, ITEM NO.1 COURT NO.9 SECTION IVB

> SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).23507/2010

(From the judgement and order dated 29/03/2010 in CWP No. 18290/2009 of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

STATE OF PUNJAB & ANR Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DAVINDER SINGH

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for vacating stay, impleadment as party respondent, prayer for interim relief and office report)

WITH T.P.(C) NO. 1008 of 2009 (With appln(s) for stay and office report) T.P.(C) NO. 1009 of 2009 (With appln(s) for stay and office report) SLP(C) NO. 34903 of 2010 (With office report) SLP(C) NO. 7044 of 2009

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP, intervention and prayer for interim relief)

T.P.(C) NO. 955 of 2009

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

Date: 01/03/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B. SUDERSHAN REDDY HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR

For Petitioner(s)

Dr. Madan Sharma, Adv. Ms. Asha Upadhyay, Adv.

Mr. Ajay Pal, Adv.

Mr. R.D. Upadhyay ,Adv

Mr. Kuldip Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. P.P. Rao, Sr. Adv.

Mr. P.S. Patwalia, Sr. Adv.

Mr. J.L. Gupta, Sr. Adv. Ms. Nidhi Gupta, Adv.

....2.

.2.

Mr. Gurbchan Singh, Adv.

Ms. S. Janani, Adv.

Mr. Tarun Gupta, Adv.

R.K. Gupta, Adv. Mr.

S.K. Gupta, Adv. Mr.

M.K. Singh, Adv. Mr.

B.K. Shahi, Adv. Mr.

Sunita Sharma, Adv. Ms.

Shekhar Kumar ,Adv Mr.

Mr. Tushar Bakshi, Adv.

Mr. Amanpreet Singh Rahi, Adv. Mr. Ajay Chauhan, Adv.

Mr. Ranjeeth, Adv.

Ms. Naresh Bakshi, Adv.

Ms. Sunita Sharma, Adv.

Mr. V. Sudeer, Adv.

Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, Adv.

Ms. Madhusmita Bora, Adv.

Mr. Manoj Swarup, Adv.

Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, Adv.

Mr. Ankit Swarup, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Anand, Adv.

Mr. Rohit Kumar Singh, Adv.

Mr. Prashant Shukla, Adv.

Mr. Rakesh Dahiya, Adv.

Mr. S.C. Paul, Adv.

Mr. Mohinder Singh, Adv.

Mr. Resham Singh, Adv.

Ms. Roopa Paul, Adv.

Ms. Satwinder Kaur, Adv.

Mr. Satyendra Kumar, Adv.

Mr. R.D. Upadhyay, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following O R D E R  $\,$ 

SLP (C) No. 23507/2010

I.A. No. 1/2010-Application for impleadment to implead the applicant as one of the respondents and I.A. Nos.3 and 4- Applications for impleadment are allowed.

....3.

.3.

I.A. No.2 - Application for vacation of stay be listed on Monday (07.03.2011).

T.P.(C) No. 1008/2009

Steps to be taken to serve the unserved respondents.

Dasti, in addition.

List after the service is complete.

T.P.(C) Nos.1009/2009 and 955/2009 are allowed in terms of the signed order.

Leave granted in the special leave petitions. Hearing expedited.

(NIDHI CHUGH)
Sr. P.A.

(RENUKA SADANA) Court Master

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

STATE OF PUNJAB ....Petitioner **VERSUS** ATTAR SINGH DHOOR ....Respondent WITH TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 955 OF 2009 STATE OF PUNJAB ....Petitioner **VERSUS** HARDIP SINGH AND ORS. ....Respondent ORDER Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the abovementioned cases, we are satisfied that these are the fit cases where the prayer for transfer is to be allowed. Hence, we allow these Transfer Petitions and direct that Civil Writ Petition No. 17705 of 2006 titled as Attar Singh Dhoor vs. State of Punjab and Civil Writ Petition No. 16221 of 2006 titled as Hardip Singh and Ors. vs. State of Punjab, both pending in the Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh, be transferred to this Court and listed along with Civil Appeal arising out of Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No. 23507 of 2010. The Transfer Petitions are, accordingly, allowed. ....J. [B. SUDERSHAN REDDY]

.....J.
[SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR]

NEW DELHI; MARCH 01, 2011.