

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

I.A. NO. 5 OF 2015

IN

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 37 OF 2015

IN THE MATTER OF:

Mathew Thomas

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Ors.

... Respondents

WITH

[I.A. NO. 5 OF 2015]

AN APPLICATION SEEKING IMPLEADMENT OF SECRETARY,
ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA AS RESPONDENT

PAPER: BOOK

[FOR INDEX: KINDLY SEE INSIDE]

ADVOCATE FOR THE PETITIONER:
MS AISHWARYA BHATI

INDEX

<u>S.NO.</u>	<u>PARTICULARS</u>	<u>PAGES</u>
1)	An Application seeking impleadment of Secretary, Election Commission of India as Respondent alongwith Affidavit	1—10
2)	<u>ANNEXURE A-1:</u> The copy of the order dated 23.09.2012 passed by this Hon'ble Court in Writ Petition (Civil) No.494 of 2012	11—13
3)	<u>ANNEXURE A-2:</u> The copy of the common order dated 16.03.2015 passed by this Hon'ble Court in Writ Petition No. 494 of 2012	14—19
4)	<u>ANNEXURE A-3:</u> The copy of the Petitioner's RTI Applications dated 24.03.2015	20—24
5)	<u>ANNEXURE A-4:</u> The copy of the reply dated 07.04.2014 issued by the Election Commission of India	25—26

Index dated today

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

I.A. NO. OF 2015

IN

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 37 OF 2015

IN THE MATTER OF:

Mathew Thomas

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Ors.

... Respondents

AND IN THE MATTER OF:

Election Commission of India,
Through its Secretary,
Nirvachan Sadan,
Ashoka Road, New Delhi-110001

... Respondent

**AN APPLICATION SEEKING IMPLEADMENT OF ELECTION
COMMISSION OF INDIA, THROUGH SECRETARY AS
RESPONDENT NO.10**

TO

THE HON'BLE CHIEF JUSTICE OF INDIA
AND HIS COMPANION JUSTICES OF THE
HON'BLE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

THE HUMBLE PETITION OF THE PETITIONER ABOVE
NAMED:

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH:

1. That, It is submitted that the instant Writ Petition is being filed by the above named Petitioner, who is a public interest litigant, *inter alia*, seeking a writ in nature of *certiorari* quashing the Gazette Notification (bearing No. A-43011/02/2009- Admn I) dated 28-01-2009 issued by Planning Commission, Government of India / Respondent

No.2, and writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the Union of India (Respondent No.1), Planning Commission (Respondent No.2) and the Unique Identification Authority of India (hereinafter referred to as "UIDAI")/Respondent No.3 to destroy all the information collected pursuant to the said impugned notifications.

2. That, it is submitted that on 23.09.2013, this Hon'ble Court in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 494 of 2012 has been pleased to pass the following order:

"....In the meanwhile, no person should suffer for not getting the Adhaar card inspite of the fact that some authority had issued a circular making it mandatory and when any person applies to get the Adhaar Card voluntarily, it may be checked whether that person entitled for it under the law and it should not be given to any illegal immigrant."

The copy of the order dated 23.09.2012 passed by this Hon'ble Court in Writ Petition (Civil) No.494 of 2012 is annexed herewith and marked as ANNEXURE A-1 [Pages - 11 - 13)

3. That, it is submitted that, thereafter, when the instant Writ Petition came up for hearing before this Hon'ble Court along with Writ Petition (Civil) No. 494 of 2012 on

16.03.2015, the Court was pleased to pass the following order:

“...In the meanwhile, it is brought to our notice that in certain quarters, identification is being insisted upon by the various authorities, we do not propose to go into the specific instances.

Since Union of India is represented by learned Solicitor General and all the States are represented through their respective counsel, we expect that both the Union of India and States and all their functionaries should adhere to the Order passed by this Court on 23rd September, 2013

Pleadings be completed before the end of April, 2015.

All the parties are at liberty to file any further affidavit or documents, if they so wish.”

The copy of common order dated 16.03.2015 passed by this Hon'ble Court in Writ Petition No.494/12 is annexed herewith and marked as ANNEXURE A-2 (Pages -14-19)

4. That, It is submitted that notwithstanding the orders passed by this Hon'ble Court, and the Election Commission of India has directed that nationwide

exercise be undertaken to seed Aadhar number. Towards this, the Election Commission is introducing Aadhaar as a requirement to "purify the electoral rolls."

5. That, it is submitted that the exercise of seeding the Aadhaar number, generated by the project whose constitutional *vires* is under challenge in a batch of petitions before this Hon'ble Court including the captioned writ petition on the grounds, *inter alia*, that the State has excessive, illegal and unconstitutional control and dominion over personal data of the individuals compromising all individual rights including those of privacy and personal security, in the electors' list has an aggravating effect of compromising the secrecy of the ballot. It is submitted that the secrecy of ballot in elections to the House of Representatives of the Parliament and the legislative assemblies of the State is both a collective and individual right of every citizen under this Constitution, unless disqualified otherwise by law. It is submitted that seeding Aadhaar with electors' list will compromise secrecy of the ballot and render the said elections to be not free and fair. It is submitted that that would be the case even if the Respondent Election Commission, impleaded herein, were to establish that no voter activity is tracked or watched. It is submitted that even a fear that the secrecy of the ballot not being

secured is enough for the freeness and the fairness of the elections to be compromised and linking Aadhaar number with voter identities is bound to kindle fears such as their movements being tracked, profiled and their votes being watched or inferred by the Respondents and other agencies.

6. That, it is submitted that the Indian States are maintaining their own portals for the linking process.
7. That it is submitted that I have learnt that in State of Kerala, in pursuance of the EC's directive, possession of Aadhaar number has been mandatory pre-condition for enrolment of new voters .
8. That, it is submitted that linking of Aadhaar number has been prohibited by this Hon'ble Court by its order dated 24.03.2014. It is submitted that the Election Commission of India is sending SMS and reminders to various persons to seed their Aadhaar number and in effect is giving out a message that the same is mandatory. It is submitted that the spirit of the orders passed by this Hon'ble Court is not being respected at all. It is submitted that while the Respondent No.3 is projecting that there is no card, but the reality is that all authorities are insisting on photocopies of Aadhaar card.

9. That further, it is submitted that the hurriedness in the exercise of "purification" by seeding i.e. being targeted to be completed by 15th August, 2015 is a contumacious violation in letter and in spirit of this Hon'ble Court's orders dated 23.09.2013 wherein it clearly ordered that no person shall suffer for not possessing an Aadhaar number/card and its Order on 24.03.2014 which while reiterating its earlier order, added that all authorities should modify their forms/circulars and the like to indicate that Aadhaar is not a prerequisite and also restrained the UIDAI from sharing its database with any other authority. It is submitted that such coercive rush in seeking purification of election rolls using Aadhaar is bound to create an apprehension of being denied the Constitutional right to vote among eligible voters and will cause them to enroll for Aadhaar, even if they are otherwise disinclined to do. It is also pointed out that the captioned writ petition raises a number of questions in relation to the role of foreign companies and agencies and the technology provided by such foreign companies in relation to the Aadhaar project and the resultant compromise in national and personal security. It is submitted that linking voter identities with Aadhaar aggravates such risks insofar as such questions have not been answered and/or adjudicated upon by this Hon'ble Court and inasmuch as

purification of a nation's voter list and voter database, an exercise of Constitutional significance being left to be subject to control and/or access by foreign companies and foreign technology, is in effect a surrender of sovereignty by the Union of India resulting in the country ceasing to be sovereign as well as ceasing to be democratic and therefore a clear breach of the preambular promise.

10. That, it is submitted that the Applicant/Petitioner has moved Election Commission of India by way of RTI applications seeking details of (a) cash seized; (b) fake voter ID cards; (c) electoral fraud. However, ECI has only sent an evasive reply stating that it does not have the said information in any material form and the Petitioner has since lodged an appeal under the RTI Act. It is submitted that that the reply indicates that ECI has no material to rationally lead to the conclusion that the problem of fake and duplicate voter ID cards could be solved by UID, and as such it is blindly endorsing the Government's approach without any application of mind and disregarding the issue of exclusion and also the danger of illegal immigrants and other foreigners acquiring Indian voter IDs, arising from such an approach. The copy of the Petitioner's RTI Applications dated 24.03.2015 and the reply dated 07.04.2014 issued by the

Election Commission of India are annexed herewith and marked as ANNEXURE A-3 [Pages-20-24] & ANNEXURE A-4 [Pages-25-26] respectively.

11. That, it follows from the above that Election Commission of India has no basis to conclude that Aadhaar alone can be the basis of maintaining the purity of the electoral rolls. It is also submitted that in view of the element of foreign control of software regulating access to Aadhaar database, such demographic data is likely to fall in wrong hands, posing serious threat to the country.
12. That, the Applicant states that Election Commission of India, therefore, is a necessary and proper party to the present Writ Petition, whose presence before this Hon'ble Court is necessary to determination of many of the claims made by the Respondent Union of India, as well as to explain the threats of using Aadhaar, and linking of almost entire demographic profile, including personal/vitals details along with biometric and retina details.

PRAYER

For the facts and reasons as stated aforesaid, it is most humbly prayed that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to:

9

- (a) Permit the Petitioner to implead "Election Commission of India, through Secretary" as Respondent No.10 to the instant Writ Petition; and/or
- (b) Pass such further or other order or orders, as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.

AND FOR THIS ACT OF KINDNESS PETITIONER, AS IN DUTY BOUND, SHALL EVER PRAY

DRAWN & FILED BY:

DRAWN ON: 12-5-15
FILED ON : 15-5-15

[MS AISHWARYA BHATI]
ADVOCATE FOR THE PETITIONER

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION
I.A. NO. OF 2015

IN
WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 37 OF 2015

IN THE MATTER OF:

Mathew Thomas ...Petitioner
Versus
Union of India & Ors. ... Respondents

AFFIDAVIT

I, Mathew Thomas, S/o Late T.P. John, R/o No. 18-A, Adarsh Vista, Basavanagar, Bangalore 560037, Karnataka State, presently at New Delhi, do hereby solemnly affirm and state on oath as under:

1. That I am the Petitioner in the aforesaid matter, as such I am well conversant with the facts and circumstances of the case and hence I am competent to swear and sign this Affidavit.
2. That the accompanying Application has been drafted as per my instructions by my counsel and I have been read over the contents thereof and I understood the same.
3. That the contents of the aforesaid accompanying Applications are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, no part of it is false and no material has been concealed therefrom.
4. That the Annexures are the true copies of its respective originals.


DEPONENT

VERIFICATION:

Verified at New Delhi on this the 2nd day of May, 2015 that the contents of the aforesaid affidavit are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing material has been concealed therefrom.


DEPONENT

14

Annexure A-2

ITEM NO.301

COURT NO.6

SECTION PIL

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 494/2012

JUSTICE K.S.PUTTASWAMY (RETD) & ANR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay, impadment, clarification/modification of Court's order, intervention, directions, permission to file additional documents, permission to file additional additional affidavit and office report)
(For Final Disposal)

WITH

T.C. (C) No. 151/2013

(With appln.(s) for impleadment as party respondent and appln.(s) for modification of court's order)

T.C. (C) No. 152/2013

W.P. (C) No. 829/2013

(With appln.(s) for impleadment and impleadment/directions and interim relief and office report)

W.P. (C) No. 833/2013

(With appln.(s) for directions and appln.(s) for impleadment and appln.(s) for impleadment and appln.(s) for permission to file additional documents and Office Report)

W.P. (C) No. 932/2013

(With appln.(s) for directions and appln.(s) for interim directions and Office Report)

T.P. (C) No. 312/2014

(With Office Report)

T.P. (C) No. 313/2014

(With Office Report)

W.P. (C) No. 37/2015

(With appln.(s) for amendment of memo of parties and interim stay and permission to file additional documents and office report)

Signature Not Verified
Digitally signed by
Deepak Magarkhani
Date: 2015.03.18
11:43:21 IST
Reason

Date : 16/03/2015 These matters were called on for hearing today.

15

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J. CHELAMESWAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.A. BOBDE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. NAGAPPAN

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Gopal Subramaniam, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, Adv.
Mr. Talha Abdul Rehman, Adv.
Ms. Neha Meena, Adv.
Ms. Anusha Ramesh, Adv.
Ms. Sadhana Saxena, Adv.

Mr. Shyam Divan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Pratap Venugopal, Adv.
Ms. Surekha Raman, Adv.
Ms. Supriya Jain, Adv.
Mr. Gaurav Nair, Adv.
Ms. Niharika, Adv.

For M/s. K.J. John & Co.

Ms. Meenakshi Arora, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Rahul Narayan, Adv.
Mr. Mohit Singh, Adv.

Mr. Abhishek Atrey, Adv.

Ms. V. Mohana, Adv.
Mr. B. Raghunath, Adv.
Mr. Vijay Kumar, Adv.

Ms. Geeta Kovilam, Adv.
Mr. P.V. Kovilam, Adv.

Mr. Ankit Goel, Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Yadav, Adv.
for Mr. Anish Kumar Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Amit Meharia, Adv.
Mr. Tannishtha Singh, Adv.
For M/s. Meharia & Company

For Respondent(s)

UOI

Mr. Ranjit Kumar, SG
Mr. Maninder Singh, ASG
Mr. Ajay Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Binu Tamta, Adv.
Ms. Meenakshi Grover, Adv.
Mr. Zoheb Hossain, Adv.
Mr. Abhinav Mukerji, Adv.

Mr. B.K. Prasad, Adv.
Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.

Mr. Prasanna S., Adv.

Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.
Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.
Ms. Rashmi Srivastava, Adv.
Ms. Shubra Rai, Adv.

Mr. Jayant Bhushan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Kuldeep S. Parihar, Adv.
Mr. H.S. Parihar, Adv.

State of Uttarakhand Mr. Pankaj Bhatia, Adv.
Mr. Dushyant Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Vivek Choudhary, Adv.
Mr. Jatinder Kumar Bhatia, Adv.

Mr. Sumit Atrey, Adv.
Ms. Priyadarshi Banerjee, Adv.
Mr. Ankur Saigal, Adv.
Mr. E.C. Agrawala, Adv.

State of Telangana Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Adv.
Mr. Krishna Kumar Singh, Adv.

State of Gujarat Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Adv.
Ms. Jesal Wahi, Adv.
Ms. Puja Singh, Adv.

State of Jharkhand Mr. Tapesk Kumar Singh, Adv.
Mr. Kumar Anurag Singh, Adv.
Mr. Mohd. Waquas, Adv.

Govt. of Puducherry Mr. V.G. Pragasam, Adv.
Mr. S.J. Aristotle, Adv.
Mr. Prabu Ramasubramanian, Adv.

ELI Mr. Mohit Ram, Adv.
Ms. Monisha Handa, Adv.
Mr. Neeraj Kumar, Adv.

State of Assam Mr. Riku Sarma, Adv.
Mr. Navnit Kumar, Adv.
M/s. Corporate Law Group

State of WB Mr. Soumitra G. Chaudhuri, Adv.
Mr. Anip Sachthey, Adv.

State of Nagaland Ms. K. Enatoli Sema, Adv.
Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv.
Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, Adv.

State of HP Mr. J.S. Attri, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Varinder Kumar Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Sumeet Prakash, Adv.

State of Maharashtra Ms. Asha Gopalan Nair, Adv.
Mr. A.P. Mayee, Adv.
Mr. A. Selvin Raja, Adv.

A&N Administration Mr. K.V. Jagdishvaran, Adv.
Ms. G. Indira, Adv.

State of Sikkim Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv.
Mr. Yusuf Khan, Adv.
Mr. K. Vijay Kumar, Adv.
M/s Arputham Aruna & Co., Adv.

State of Goa Mr. Ninad Laud, Adv.
Mr. Karan Mathur, Adv.
Mr. Jayant Mohan, Adv.

State of Manipur Mr. Sapam Biswajit Meitei, Adv.
Mr. Z.H. Issac Haiding, Adv.
Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, Adv.

State of Rajasthan Mr. Shiv Mangal Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Shrey Kapoor, Adv.
Ms. Ruchi Kohli, Adv.

State of HP Mr. Suryanarayana Singh, AAG
Ms. Pragati Neekhra, Adv.

State of Punjab Mr. Sanchar Anand, Adv.
Mr. Apoorv Singhal, Adv.

State of Kerala Mr. Jogy Scaria, Adv.
Mr. R.S. Bed, Adv.

State of Mizoram Mr. K.N. Madhusoodhanam, Adv.
Mr. T.G.N. Nair, Adv.

NCT of Delhi Mr. J.M. Kalia, Adv.

State of Haryana Mr. B.K. Satija, AAG

IA 5of 2014 in CWP 833/13 Mr. Sumit Attri, Adv.
Mr. E.C. Agrawala, Adv.

- Mr. Gopal Sankaranarayanan, Adv.
- Ms. Savita Singh, Ad.
- Mr. Prashant, Adv.

- State of TN
 - Mr. B. Balaji, Adv.
 - Mr. R. Rakesh Sharma, Adv.
 - Ms. R. Shase, Adv.

- State of Bihar
 - Mr. Abhinav Mukerji, Adv.

- UT Chandigarh
 - Ms. Vimla Sinha, Adv.
 - Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.

 - Mr. Amit Sharma, Adv.
 - Mr. Dipesh Sinha, Adv.

- Beghar Foundation
 - Mr. Saikrishna Rajagopal, Adv.
 - Mr. Juhen George, Adv.
 - Mr. Arjun Ranganathan, Adv.

 - Mr. Nikhil Nayyar, Adv.

 - Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv.

 - Ms. C. K. Sucharita, Adv.

 - Mr. Mishra Saurabh, Adv.

 - Mr. Garvesh Kabra, Adv.

 - Mr. T. G. Narayanan Nair, Adv.

 - Ms. Anitha Shenoy, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The matters require considerable time for hearing. Therefore, we direct the matters to be listed for hearing in the second week of July, 2015 after obtaining appropriate orders from Hon'ble the Chief Justice of India.

In the meanwhile, it is brought to our notice that in certain quarters, Aadhar identification is being insisted upon by the various authorities, we do not propose to go into the specific instances.

Since Union of India is represented by learend Solicitor General and all the States are represented through their respective counsel, we expect that both the Union of India and States and all their functionaries should adhere to the Order passed by this Court on 23rd September, 2013.

Pleadings be completed before the end of April, 2015.

All the parties are at liberty to file any further affidavit or documents, if they so wish.

(DEEPAK MANSUKHANI)
COURT MASTER

(TAPAN KR. CHAKRABORTY)
COURT MASTER

20

ANNEXURE A - 3

File No: EC1/Cash Seized - 1
Tuesday, March 24, 2015

APPLICATION UNDER SECTION 6(1)
OF THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005

1. Please quote our file reference in all your replies
2. Please permit me to inspect files held by you relevant to the subject matter of this RTI application

1. Full name of the applicant	Mathew Thomas
2. Address	18 A, Adarsh Vista, Basavanagar, Marathahalli P O, Bangalore 560037
3. Details of the information/ documents required:	
a. Any document giving number cases of unaccounted cash and I or cash being distributed to voters seized during elections in the past 10 years	
b. Any documents with details of status of criminal prosecution of cases such as above	
4. Year to which the document pertains	2005 to 2015
5. Designation and address of the Public Information Officer	The PIO, Secretariat of the Election Commission of India, Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi — 110001.
Particulars of initial fee of Rs. 10 paid	Indian Postal Order Number: 11F 808569

BANGALORE

DATE: Tuesday, March 24, 2015

Sd/-

SIGNATURE OF THE APPLICANT

21

File No: EC1/Duplicates & Fakes - 1

Tuesday, March 24, 2015

APPLICATION UNDER SECTION 6(1)

OF THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005

1. Please quote our file reference in all your replies
2. Please permit me to inspect files held by you relevant to the subject matter of this RTI application

1. Full name of the applicant	Mathew Thomas
2. Address	18 A, Adarsh. Vista, Basavanagar, Marathahalli P O, Bangalore 560037
3. Details of the information/ documents required:	
a. Any document showing number of duplicate voter ID cards found by ECI (Election Commission of India) during enumeration or revision of electoral rolls during the past 10 years. ("Duplicate voter ID" is defined as a person possessing two voter ID cards, in different names, same name, with or without different addresses)	
b. Any document showing number of voters caught using a false or fake voter ID at the time of casting votes	

or at any other time

c. Any document showing number of fake or false voter IDs found during enumeration or revision of voter rolls during the past 10 years. (A "fake" or "false" voter ID is defined as a person found in possession of a voter ID issued by ECI or SEC [Any State Election Commission] but on physical verification at the address shown in the voter ID the person is not the same as the person described in the voterID card..

4. Year to which the document pertains	2005 to 2015
5. Designation and address of the Public Information Officer	The PIO), Secretariat of the Election Commission of India, Nirvachan Sudan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi — 110001.
Particulars of initial fee of Rs 10 paid	Indian Postal Order Number: 11f 80567

BANGALORE

DATE: Tuesday, March 24, 2015

Sd/-

SIGNATURE OF THE APPLICANT

File No: EC1/Electoral Fraud - 1

Tuesday, March 24, 2015

APPLICATION UNDER SECTION 6(1)
OF THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005

1. Please quote our file reference in all your replies
2. Please permit one to inspect files held By you relevant to the subject matter of this RTI application

1. Full name of the applicant	Mathew Thomas
2. Address	18 A, Adarsh Vista, Basavanagar, Marathahalli P O, Bangalore 560037
<p>3. Details of the information/ documents required:</p> <p>a. Any document showing number of cases of electoral fraud and / or crimes under Representation of People Act or Indian Penal Code detected during the past 10 (ten) years. (Electoral fraud and / or crimes may be acts such as impersonation, booth capturing, intimidating voters, hate speeches, canvassing in the name of religion or caste,</p>	

distribution of cash or goods to induce voters or buy votes etc.)	
b. Documents with information on number of successful prosecution for electoral fraud and I or crimes such as above during past 10 (ten) years	
4. Year to which the document pertains	2005 to 2015
5. Designation and address of the Public Information Officer	The PIO, Secretariat of the Election Commission of India, Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi - 110001.
Particulars of initial fee of Rs. 10 paid	Indian Postal Order Number:

BANGALORE

DATE ; Tuesday, March 24, 2015

Sd/-

SIGNATURE OF THE APPLICANT

//TRUE TYPED COPY//

25

ANNEXURE A 4

ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA

NIRVACHAN SADAN, ASHOKA ROAD, NEW DELHI-
110001

Dated : 07.04.2015

No. 4/RTI/35/2015-ERS

To,

Sh. Mathew Thomas,
18 A, Marsh Vista,
Basavanagar,
Marathahaili PO,
Banglore- 560037
Karnataka.

Subject : RTI information under RTI Act, 2005 -
Regarding

Sir,

With reference to your application on theafore mentioned subject dated 24.03.2015 (received in the Commission on 31.03.2015), I am to inform that the information sought is not available in any material form in the Commission. The information sought may be available with the Chief Electoral Officers (CEOs) of the State/UTs concerned. Due to there being more than one MO , your application cannot be transferred under the

26

RTI, Act 2005. For your convenience the list of address of
CEOs is enclosed.

In case, you are not satisfied with the information
supplied, you may make an appeal 30 days of the date of
this letter before the First Appellate Authority. Particulars
First Authority are as under:

Shri, K.F. Wilfred,
First Appellate Authority under
Right to information Act, 2.035 and
Pr. Secretary, Election Commission of India,
Nirvachan Sedan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi -- 110001

Yours faithfully,

Sd/-

(AJAY KUMAR)

UNDER SECRETARY & CPIO

Copy to : 1. RTI Section with reference to their diary

No. 429 dated 01.04.2015

2. RTI guard file.

//TRUE TYPED COPY//

2/2

~~2~~

