ITEM NO.28

1

COURT NO.1

SECTION III-A

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA **RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**

Civil Appeal No.1867/2006

MALIK MAZHAR SULTAN & ANR.

VERSUS

U.P. PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION THROUGH ITS SECRETARY & ORS.

(With appln.(s) for appropriate orders/directions, clarification/ direction and extension of time)

WITH <u>S.M.W.(C) No.1/2017 (PIL-W)</u> <u>S.M.W.(C) No.2/2018 (PIL-W)</u>

Date : 07-11-2019/ These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA

> Mr. K.V. Vishwanathan, Sr. Adv. (A.C.) Mr. Ravi Raghunath, Adv. Mr. Ashok Mathur, Adv.

Mr. Gaurav Agarwal, Adv. (A.C.)

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Ejaz Maqbool, AOR

By Courts Motion

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Lakshmi Raman Singh, AOR

Mr. Naresh K. Sharma, AOR

Mr. Krishnayan Sen, AOR

Mr. Kamini Jaiswal, AOR

Appellant(s)

Respondent(s)

Mrs. Sarla Chandra, AOR Ms. Ruchi Kohli, AOR Mr. Karan Bharihoke, AOR Mr. Siddhant Sharma, Adv. Ms. Navkiran Balay, Adv. Ms. Akankshya, Adv. Mr. R. Sathish, AOR Mr. B. Balaji, AOR Mr. Arjun Garg, AOR Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, AOR Mr. Vishnu Sharma, AOR Ms. Anupama Sharma, Adv. Mr. Akhileshwar Jha, Adv. Mr. Shibashish Misra, AOR Mr. Radha Shyam Jena, AOR Mr. K. Parameshwar, AOR Mr. M.V. Mukunda, Adv. Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra, AOR Ms. Asha Gopalan Nair, AOR Mr. Suvendu Suvasis Dash, AOR Ms. Binu Tamta, AOR Ms. S. Janani, AOR Mr. Naresh Bakshi, AOR Mr. Prakash Kumar Singh, AOR Mr. Vipin Nair, AOR Mr. P.B. Suresh, Adv. Mr. Karthik Jayashankar, Adv. Mr. K. K. Mohan, AOR Mr. Anuvrat Sharma, AOR Mr. K.V. Jagdishvaran, Adv. Ms. G. Indira, AOR

Mr. T. V. Ratnam, AOR Mr. Raghvendra Kumar, Adv. Mr. Narendra Kumar, AOR Mr. Rana Ranjit Singh, AOR Mr. Annam D. N. Rao, AOR Mr. Anil K. Jha, AOR Mr. R. Nedumaran, AOR Mrs. D. Bharathi Reddy, AOR Mr. Y. Raja Gopala Rao, AOR Mr. T. Mahipal, AOR Ms. Deepanwita Priyanka, AOR Mr. Avijit Bhattacharjee, AOR Mr. Shrish Kumar Misra, AOR Mr. M. R. Shamshad, AOR Mr. Siddhartha Chowdhury, AOR Ms. Asha Jain Madan, AOR Mr. Sunil Kumar Jain, AOR Mr. Abhishek Jain, Adv. Ms. Anusha Agarwal, Adv. Ms. Preetika Dwivedi, AOR Mr. Merusagar Samantaray, AOR Mr. Jatinder Kumar Bhatia, AOR Mr. P. I. Jose, AOR Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, AOR Mr. Upendra Mishra, Adv. Mr. K.V. Kharlyngdoh, Adv. Mr. Ajay Pal, AOR Mr. Ashwani Kumar, AOR

Mr. Anil Shrivastav, AOR Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv. Mr. Satyendra Kumar Srivastav, Adv. Mr. Pradeep Misra, AOR Mr. Arun K. Sinha, AOR Mr. Thomas P. Joseph, Sr. Adv. Mr. P.N. Ravindran, Sr. Adv. Mr. T. G. Narayanan Nair, AOR Mr. B. S. Banthia, AOR Mr. Naresh Kumar, AOR Mr. Gaurav Pachnanda, Sr. Adv. Mr. Sahil Tagotra, AOR Ms. Avni Sharma, Adv. Ms. A. Subhashini, AOR Ms. Rachana Srivastava, AOR Mr. Aviral Saxena, Adv. Mr. Milind Kumar, AOR M/s. Parekh & Co. Mr. Parijat Sinha, AOR Mr. R.N. Venjrani, Sr. Adv. Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sharma, Adv. Mr. S.K. Rajora, Adv. Mr. T. V. George, AOR Mr. Kaushal Yadav, AOR Ms. Uttara Babbar, AOR Mr. Manan Bansal, Adv. Mr. Sunil Kumar Verma, AOR Ms. Hemantika Wahi, AOR Mr. Krishnanand Pandey, AOR Mr. S. C. Patel, AOR Mr. Sunny Choudhary, AOR

Ms. Sumita Hazarika, AOR Mr. Shail Kumar Dwivedi, AOR Mr. M. Shoeb Alam, AOR Mr. Mojahid Karim Khan, Adv. Mr. Tara Chandra Sharma, AOR Mr. Abhijit Sengupta, AOR Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR M/s. Corporate Law Group Mr. Sibo Sankar Mishra, AOR Mr. Ugra Shankar Prasad, AOR Mr. Ashok Mathur, AOR Mr. Anandh Kannan N., AOR Mr. Kamlendra Mishra, AOR Mrs. Bina Gupta, AOR Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, AOR Mr. Shree Pal Singh, AOR Mr. Abhimanyu Tewari, Adv. Ms. Eliza Bar, Adv. Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, AOR Mr. Kabir Shankar Bose, Adv. Mr. Satoroop Das, Adv. Mr. Keshav Mohan, Adv. Mr. Santosh Kumar - I, AOR Dr. Rajesh Pandey, Adv. Ms. Tanuja Manjari Patra, Adv. Mr. Mahesh Pandey, Adv. Ms. Shweta Mulchandani, Adv. Ms. Aswathi M.K., AOR Mr. Kaarthi, Adv. Ms. Yogita Ahuja, Adv. Ms. Nishanth Patil, AOR Ms. Ruchira Gupta, Adv. Mr. Anurag Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Sameer Shrivastava, AOR Mr. Vikas Mahajan, AAG Mr. Vinod Sharma, AOR Mr. Anil Kumar, Adv. Mr. Gopal Prasad, AOR Mr. Jayesh Gaurav, Adv. Mr. Shalya Agarwal, Adv. Mr. V. N. Raghupathy, AOR Mr. Manendra Pal Gupta, Adv. Mr. G. Prakash, AOR Mr. Jishnu M.L., Adv. Mrs. Priyanka Prakash, Adv. Mrs. Beena Prakash, Adv. Mr. Mishra Saurabh, AOR Mr. Nishant Ramakantrao Katneshwarkar, AOR Mr. Anoop Kandari, Adv. Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, AOR Mr. A. Rajarajan, Adv. Mr. Sanjeev Kr. Choudhary, Adv. Ms. Deepanwita Priyanka, Adv. Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, AOR Ms. Sneha Kalita, AOR Ms. K. Enatoli Sema, AOR Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv. Mr. Som Raj Choudhury, AOR Ms. Ranjeeta Rohatgi, AOR Mr. Rohit K. Singh, AOR Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv. Mr. Avneesh Arputham, Adv. Ms. Anuradha Arputham, Adv. Ms. Geetanjali, Adv. M/s. Arputham Aruna And Co. Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna, AOR Mr. S. Raja Rajeshwaran, Adv.

Mr. S.. Udaya Kumar Sagar, AOR Ms. Swati Bhardwaj, Adv.

7

Mr. Gopal Singh, AOR Mr. Adarsh Upadhyay, AOR Mr. Soumya Chakraborty, Sr. Adv. Mr. Kunal Chatterji, AOR Mr. Chirag M. Shroff, AOR Ms. Mahima C. Shroff, Adv. Ms. Yashika Verma, Adv. Ms. Riya Thomas, Adv. Mr. V. G. Pragasam, AOR Mr. Ardhendumauli Kumar Prasad, AOR Mr. Ankit Goel, AOR Mr. R.K. Gupta, Adv. Mr. Suhaan Mukerji, Adv. Ms. Astha Sharma, Adv. Mr. Amit Verma, Adv. Mr. Abhishek Manchanda, Adv. Ms. Kajal Dalal, Adv. Mr. Naveen Kumar, Adv. M/s. Plr Chambers And Co. Mr. Tapesh Kumar Singh, AOR Mr. Aditya Pratap Singh, Adv. Ms. Purnima Krishna, AOR Mr. Yadav Narender Singh, AOR Ms. Sakshi Kakkar, AOR Mr. Shakti Singh, Adv. Dr. Monika Gusain, AOR Ms. Manpreet Kaur Bhalla, Adv. Mr. Talha Abdul Rahman, AOR Shri. Gaichangpou Gangmei, AOR Mr. Naveen R. Nath, AOR Mr. Amit Kumar, Adv. Gen. Mr. Avijit Mani Tripathi, AOR Mr. Shaurya Sahay, Adv. Mr. T.K. Nayak, Adv. Mr. P.S. Negi, Adv.

Ms. Shruti Ram Kochar, Adv. Mr. K.V. Kharlyngdoh, Adv. Ms. Rekha Bakshi, Adv. Mr. Abhinav Mukerji, AOR Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tyagi, AOR Mr. Divyansh Rai, Adv. Mr. R. Balasubramanian, Sr. Adv. Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, Sr. Adv. Mr. S. Wasim A. Qadri, Sr. Adv. Ms. Binu Tamta, Adv. Mr. Pankaj Pandey, Adv. Mr. A.K. Sharma, Adv. Ms. Swarupama Chaturvedi, Adv. Mr. Prashant Singh, Adv. Mr. Raj Bahadur Yadav, Adv. Mr. Rajiv Ranjan Rajesh, Adv. Dr. Manish Singhvi, Sr. Adv. Mr. Shailja Nanda Mishra, Adv. Mr. Arpit Parkash, Adv. Mr. Sandeep Kumar Jha, Adv. Mr. D.K. Devesh, Adv. Mr. Rahul Kaushik, Adv. Ms. Bhuvneshwari Pathak, Adv. Ms. Shilpi Satyapriya Satyam, Adv. Mr. Sanjai Kumar Pathak, Adv. Ms. Shashi Pathak, Adv. Mr. Arvind Kumar Tripathi, Adv. Mr. Navaniti Prasad Singh, Sr. Adv. Mr. Vaibhav Niti, Adv. Ms. Madhavi Agarwal, Adv. Ms. Sayaree Basu Mallik, Adv. Mr. G.N. Reddy, Adv. Ms. Sujatha Bagadi, Adv. Mr. T. Vijaya Bhaskar Reddy, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R

Re: <u>State of Gujarat (High Court of Gujarat)</u>

We have heard Shri K.V. Viswanathan, learned Amicus

Curiae. Mr. Hasmukhbhai Dalsukhibhai Suthar, Registrar General is present on behalf of the High Court of Gujarat

I. <u>District Judge/Higher Judicial Service</u>

So far as the filling up of 51 vacancies in the cadre of District Judge is concerned, we have been informed by the learned counsel that the proposal is to be placed before the Chief Justice of the High Court for appropriate orders after the court reopens after Diwali vacation i.e. 11.11.2019. The Hon'ble Chief Justice of the High Court is requested to look into the matter and expedite the process.

II. <u>Civil Judge</u>

In the selection held for 124 posts notified on 1st January, 2019, in the cadre of Civil Judge, though nearly five thousand candidates had applied, only seven were found suitable for appointment. The left over vacancies to be filled up along with further vacancies that has occurred totaling 186 have been notified and preliminary examination is scheduled to be held on 17.11.2019. We would request the High Court to make a realistic assessment of the actual requirement of judicial officers and, if required, prune the number of posts available in the cadre to meet the actual requirements so that the recruitment process in the future is conducted on more realistic basis. For the present, we direct that 185 vacancies advertised for which preliminary examination is scheduled to be held on 17.11.2019, be completed as per the time schedule laid down in Malik Mazhar Sultan Case.

So far as the infrastructure is concerned, we are told by the learned Amicus Curiae that 17 court buildings are at different stages of construction and are required to be completed at the earliest. We direct the State Government to do so. The time frame for making available infrastructure as indicated in the note of the learned Amicus Curiae be adhered to.

Re: <u>State of Himachal Pradesh (High Court of H.P.)</u>

Mr. Virender Singh, Registrar General is present on behalf of the High Court of Himachal Pradesh.

I. <u>District Judge/Higher Judicial Service</u>

So far as the vacancies in the cadre of District Judge is concerned, against 3 notified vacancies 8 candidates have been included in the select list. All the 8 selected candidates are judicial officers whose eligibility to take part in the selection is subject matter of consideration in a pending proceeding before the High Court. On being directed, the Registrar General of the High Court has informed that 1 out of 2 judicial officers is occupying a slot in the first 3 names of the select list. In these circumstances, we direct that 2 out of first 3 names in the select list may be considered for appointment, if otherwise eligible and one post be kept vacant to abide by the order of the High Court in the case of eligibility of judicial officers to take part in the direct recruitment to the post of District Judge. Consequential actions will be expedited and taken within an outer limit of four weeks from today by all concerned.

II. <u>Civil Judge (Junior Division)</u>

So far as the vacancies in the cadre of Civil Judge (Junior Division) is concerned, we direct that the appointments against 10 notified posts be completed by the end of December, 2019, as undertaken before us.

11

I.A. No.127623 of 2019 is disposed of in the above terms.

So far as the infrastructure is concerned, we are told by the learned Amicus Curiae that on the orders of the Court, a report has been submitted to him by the learned Registrar General of the High Court which has been forwarded by learned Amicus Curiae to the Registry of this Court to be placed before the Court under a covering letter of Amicus Curiae. A copy of the said report be furnished to the learned counsel appearing for the State. The State is directed to ensure that requisite steps are taken so far as the availability of infrastructure is concerned within the time schedule as mentioned in the said report.

Re: <u>State of Jammu & Kashmir (High Court of J&K)</u>

We have heard Mr. K.V. Viswanathan, learned Amicus Curiae, Mr. Gaurav Pachnanda, learned senior counel for the High Court of Jammu & Kashmir, Mr. M. Shoeb Alam, learned counsel for the State and Mr. Sanjay Dhar, Registrar General of the High Court.

I. <u>District Judge/Higher Judicial Service</u>

By our previous order dated 30.07.2019/31.07/2019/ 01.087.2019, we had directed completion of process of selection and appointment in different cadre of judicial services in the State of Jammu & Kashmir on different dates as mentioned in the said order.

An interlocutory application has been filed on behalf of the High Court seeking extension of time on the ground that the present situation in the State of Jammu & Kashmir does not permit completion of the process within the time line framed by this Court. CA 1867/2006

Having regard to the above, we extend the time for completion of process for recruitment, selection or appointment to different cadres of the judicial services of the State by a period of four months from today.

II. <u>Civil Judge (Junior Division)</u>

Against 42 vacancies in the cadre of Civil Judge (Junior Division)/Munsiff, 41 candidates have been selected by the Public Service Commission and the select list has been forwarded to the State Government, which is stated to be under process. Mr. M. Shoeb Alam, learned counsel appearing for the State undertakes that the appointment of candidates from the select list of 41, as may be found suitable, will be made by the end of November, 2019. The State is directed to do so.

Re: <u>State of Jharkhand (High Court of Jharkhand)</u>

Mr. Ambuj Nath, Registrar General is present on behalf of the High Court of Jharkhand.

I. <u>District Judge/Higher Judicial Service</u>

Insofar as the vacancies in the cadre of District Judge is concerned, we are told that after appointments by promotion of 59 eligible officers, selection process for direct recruitment against 20 vacancies was undertaken and 17 candidates have been included in the select list, out of which 15 have been appointed. 2 appointments have not been made as the selected candidates are judicial officers working in other States and the eligibility of judicial officers to take part in the examination for direct recruitment is pending before this Court.

12

The interlocutory applications (I.A. No.139035 and of 2019) have been filed by one such judicial 139131 officers, who contends that on the date of application, he was not a judicial officer though thereafter he opted to join Bihar judicial service. The question whether eligibility is to be reckoned with the date of appointment or date of application, is pending before a three-Judge Bench of this The matter is likely to take some time. Court. The applicant in the interlocutory application admittedly was not a judicial officer on the date of appointment. We, therefore, permit him to opt to join against one of the posts of District Judge in the judicial service of Jharkhand State, subject to eligibility, etc., while making it clear that such an appointment if it materializes, will be subject to the results of the proceedings pending before this Court in Durgesh Mor vs. Hon'ble High Court of Delhi (2018) 4 SCC 619.

II. <u>Civil Judge (Senior Division)</u>

So far as the vacancies in the cadre of Civil Judge (Senior Division) is concerned, we are told by the Registrar General of the High Court that 42 posts have been filled up by promotion and the remaining vacancies are at different stages awaiting receipt and consideration of various reports, like vigilance report, etc.

In view of the above, no further orders will be called for.

III. <u>Civil Judge (Junior Division)</u>

So far as the vacancies in the cadre of Civil Judge (Junior Division) is concerned, 107 posts have been advertised and the result of the main written examination is delay.

scheduled to be declared by the second week of November, 2019. The High Court shall finalize the remaining part of the selection process as per the time schedule laid down by this Court in *Malik Mazhar Sultan case* and, thereafter, such of the eligible candidates who may be included in the select

list shall be appointed by the State Government without any

(Chetan Kumar) A.R.-cum-P.S.

(Anand Prakash) Court Master