IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA CRIMINAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

ক শু

WRIT PETITION (CRIMINAL) NO.194/2017

IN THE MATTER OF:

Joseph Shine

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India

...Respondent

AND IN THE MATTER OF:

Partners for Law in Development
Through its Authorised Signatory
F-18, Jangpura Extension, First Floor,
NewDelhi-110014

...Applicant

WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS BY MS. MEENAKSHI ARORA, SENIOR **ADVOCATE**

PAPER BOOK
[FOR INDEX KINDLY SEE INSIDE]

8

ADVOCATE FOR THE APPLICANT

LIZ MATHEW

INDEX

	Ö		7	 (W)	ý	md. mid.	Ö	φ,	ÇO		Ö	, cu	ing which the State Control on a supplementation of the second	Ç.	No.		Š	Ś
UN Human Rights Special Procedures, Working Group on the Issue of Discrimination Against Women in Law and in Practice, October, 2012.	Judgment dated 26.02.2015 of the South Korean Constitutional Court, 2009 Henna 17.	Judgment dated 07.03.1996 of the Guatemalan Constitutional Court, File No. 936-95	Alamgirv. State of Bihar, (1959) Supp 1 SCR 464	W. Kalyaniv. State, (2012) 1 SCC 358	AnujGargv. Hotel Association of India, (2008) 3 SCC 1	V. Revathiv. Union of India, (1988) 2 SCC 72	Sowmithri Vishnu v. Union of India, (1985) Supp SCC 137	Yusuf Abdul Aziz v. The State, 1952 ILR Bom 449.	Yusuf Abdul Aziz v. State of Bombay, AIR 1954 SC 321	Tinker v. Colwell, 193 U.S. 473 (1904)	A Penal Code prepared by The Indian Law Commissioners (1838) [relevant extracts]	lackstone's Con	Uma Chakravarti, <i>Gendering Caste: Through a Feminist Lens</i> (2003) [relevant extracts]	, <u>0</u> , 0	Mehra, The Rape Law and Con y (2018) [relevant extracts]	behall		Particulars
174 – 183	170 – 173	162 – 169	154 – 161	151 – 153	131 – 150	125 – 130	118 – 124	112-117	110 - 111	103 – 109	89 – 102	79 – 88	62 – 78	40 – 61	34 – 39	<u>-</u> ယ ယ	- aga	Dance

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA CRL. M.P. NO.

Z

W.P. (CRL) NO. 194/2017

IN THE MATTER OF:

Joseph Shine

... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India

AND IN THE MATTER OF: Partners for Law in Development

.. Applicant

Respondent

SUBMISSIONS OF MS. MEENAKSHI ARORA, SR. ADVOCATE, ON BEHALF OF THE APPLICANT

Indian Penal Code, 1860 (the "IPC"), which criminalizes the act of adultery. Hon'ble Court in arriving at a finding on the constitutionality of Section 497 of the submissions in support of the Petitioner in the above matter, with a view to assist this well established and recognized. The Applicant therefore seeks to put forward its women. The Applicant's work and expertise in the area of gender and sexuality is profit organization committed to the realization of social justice and equality for The Applicant is a legal resource group registered as a charitable trust, and a non-

Origins of the Law on Adultery

Ĭ. General Overview

guioced T her most important virtue, was closely guarded to ensure, the purity of the male estate of their husbands, post marriage. The chastity of a woman, regarded as within the estate of their fathers as girls, and thereafter, post marriage, within the their choices or their bodies. Just like land, cattle and crop, they were subsumed Denied the exercise of basic rights and liberties, they had little autonomy over prevalence of a male patriarchal society had reduced women to mere chattel. but the roots of its proscription lie in the status accorded to women in society in earlier times. The act of adultery is considered to be morally reprehensible across jurisdictions, Aggressively conservative scriptural interpretations and

condemned as the seductive Eve if she strayed from her moral compass woman was simultaneously hailed as an embodiment of the virgin Mary, and so as to restrict their sexuality as well as control sexual access to them. bloodline. An oppressively high moral code was therefore imposed on women

N

- consent of her guardian. 4 woman's body, but in the perpetrator obtaining sexual access to her without the and rape. As such, the crux of the offence inhered not in the assault on the perpertrator. 2 In this entire exercise, the law made no distinction between voluntary and consensual elopement by the woman, and her forced abduction³ abductors, and the marriage enabled them to inherit the properties and wealth the property Statute of Rapes of 1382, to disinherit both the 'ravisher' and his 'victim' from belonging to the woman's family. Concerns of wealthy families prompted the property. As per the social norms, the women were forced to marry their century England, such "abductions" became a common tool for amassing marriage abduction of an unmarried girl from the custody of her guardian. Since the girl commonly used in ancient Roman law to refer to the wrongful "taking away" or possessions of their guardians. To illustrate, the word "rape" is derived from the to protect the bodily integrity of women as It is hardly surprising therefore, that laws on sexual offences were designed not Latin word "raptus" property of her father, only he had the right to give her away in of the family, and gave the family the right to prosecute the permit a man to have or "rapere", which literally means "to seize", and was sexual relations with her. In 14th-15th persons, but as the private
- (J.) propagation of his own bloodline or, at the very least, is compensated for the husband retains control over her sexuality, thereby also ensuring the purity and therefore not to protect the woman's bodily integrity, but to ensure that the her paramour. Sexual relations by a man with another man's wife therefore moral and social sanction to chastise his wife, he had little or no redress against Adultery is no different. While the patriarchal structure of society gave the man injury done to his property be considered as theft of the husband's property. The object was

Madhu Mehra, The Rape Law and Constructions of Sexuality (2018), at p. 20

² Trevor Dean, Crime in Medieval Europe: 1200-1550 (2001).

³ Abduction, without any element of sexual assault, was made a felony by the Crown much later, in

⁴ Madhu Mehra, The Rape Law and Constructions of Sexuality (2018), at p. 20

- Noted author Charles Jean Marie Letourneau, in her book, writes as follows: and glut his rage on her without any arm being raised for her moreover he generally has her in his keeping; he can chastise her freely, accomplice in the attempt on her husband's property in her own person; of larceny, the wife, is a sentient and thinking being- that is to say, an punish the thief who has taken them, but him only. In adultery, the object object, an inert possession, are passive things; their owner may well much more grave than murder. But adultery is not a common theft. An tender to thieves. Nearly everywhere theft has been considered a crime authority of her owner is theft; and human societies have never been confounded, with things possessed. To use her, the efore, without the as the property of the husband, and is very often confounded, absolutely "In all legislations the married woman is more or less openly considered
- Ç, Brahmanic notions of patriarchy and caste purity are equally to blame. Uma Chakravarti, in her book Gendering Caste: Through a Feminist Lens, observes

entire structure."6 ensured without closely guarding women, who form the pivot of the Neither land nor ritual quality, that is, the purity of caste, maintain all three without stringently controlling female sexuality. within it. These three are structurally linked and it is impossible to construct a closed structure to preserve land, women and ritual quality "...a fundamental principle of Hindu social organization was

a violation of a valued resource owned by men - in particular the theft as the other major crime in society. Adultery itself was considered another. And even before the archaic state emerged as a more fully from the adulterer for injury done to the 'chattels' under the custody of husband. A reference in the Jaiakas states that damages could be sought India viewed adultery as one of the major crimes in society along with with the authority to punish errant wives. The patriarchal state of early ensure perpetuation of the patriarchal structures: the king was vested "The structure of social rules also provided for a third level of control to

Charles Jean Marie Letourneau, The Evolution of Marriage (1911), at p. 208-209

⁶ Uma Chakravarti, Gendering Caste: Through a Feminist Lens (2003), at p. 66

greatness: she is to be publicly humiliated. The king was thus upholding order itself, not just in the existing society but into the future too..."⁷ The purity of women ensured the purity of caste and thus of the social the existing structure of relations pertaining to land and the caste order. the wife who violates the duty to her lord, though she is aware of his with men of the lower castes. Manu reserves the highest punishment for reprehensible cases of adultery are when women have sexual relations belonged had the authority to punish the 'errant' wife, even with death... completed structure, ...In keeping with the requirements of a caste-based society, the most the clan or the community to which a woman

Ġ, State, the modern substitute of the regent, to punish adultery. "errant" wives, perhaps now anachronistically manifests itself in the right of the was considered his private property. The ancient right of the King to penalize i.e. violation of the right of a man over exclusive sexual access to his wife, who The above discourse reveals the true object behind the proscription of adultery,

B. Common Law and Inclusion of Adultery in the IPC

-1 of meere spirituality for correction of these offences".9 of fornication and adultery, states that "there be two examples put in particular allowed ecclesiastical courts to deal with purely spiritual offences. In the context private injury.8 In the "Second Part of the Institutes of the Laws of England", of Canon Law", and the temporal Courts took no cognizance of it except as a Coke deals with the Statute of 13 Edw 1 called "Circumspecte Agatis", which wrong "left to the feeble coercion of the Spiritual Court, according to the rules criminal offence under common law. Rather, it was treated as an ecclesiastical Interestingly, when the IPC was drafted and came into force, adultery was not a

.

00 Further, the act of adultery or "criminal conversation", as it was deceptively "trespass vi et armis", 10 and sue the adulterer for damages. 11 The quantum of merely a tort. The husband was entitled to bring an action of

⁷ Uma Chakravarti, Gendering Caste: Through a Feminist Lens (2003), at p. 77.

⁹ Edward Coke, 2 Inst 487-488 (6th edition, 1662). ⁸ Blackstone's Commentaries on the Laws of England, Book IV (8th edition, 1778), at p. 64-65

Latin for trespass by force and arms.

¹³ Blackstone's Commentaries on the Laws of England, Book III (8th edition, 1778), at p. 139

the IPC was brought into force. illegitimate. 12 Even this tort came to be abolished in 1857, 13 a few years before husband's fortune of the parties, the wife's behavior and character, as well as the damages awarded depended on various factors, and varied based on the rank and obligation to provide for children who he suspected

9 (1904): made by the American Supreme Court in Tinker v. Colwell, 193 U.S. The rationale behind the tort is well expounded in the following observations

and for the doubt thrown upon the legitimacy of children."14 for his wounded feelings and honour, the defilement of the marriage bed, which then proceeded to permit the recovery of damages by the husband to give jurisdiction of the cause of action as a trespass, to the courts, An assault vi et armis is a fiction of law, assumed at first, in early times, and property of the husband, which is both malicious and willful... this nature may properly be described as an injury to the personal rights the husband's rights as against the wrongdoer, and that an assault of "...We think the authorities show the husband has certain personal and act, because the wife is in law incapable of giving any consent to affect is almost universally the case as proved, the wife in fact consents to the an act on the part of another man constitutes an assault even when, as interfered with and invaded by criminal conversation with her; that such with regard to the person of his wife which are

rights of the husband in the person of his wife, and so the act of the the husband."15 defendant is an injury to the person and also to the property rights of upon the idea that the act of the defendant is a violation of the marital cases on this subject that the cause of action by the husband is based "We think that it is made clear by these references to a few of the many

Blackstone's Commentaries on the Laws of England, Book III (8th edition, 1778), at p. 139.

Socio-Legal Review 47 (2014). 13 Abhinav Sekhri, The Good, the Bad, and the Adulterous: Criminal Law and Adultery in India, 10

¹⁴ Tinker v. Colwell, 193 U.S. 473 (1904), at p. 481.

¹⁵ Tinker v. Colwell, 193 U.S. 473 (1904), at p. 485

- Ċ wife, if the husband shall stab the adulterer, or knock out his brains, this is bare invasion of property." manslaughter: for Jealousy is the Rage of a Man and Adultery is the highest Kely. 119, the Court held that "...a man is taken in adultery with another man's wife was therefore manslaughter, and not murder. In R v. Mawgridge, (1707) 300 of the IPC. The killing of a man engaged in an adulterous act with one's the exception of "grave and sudden provocation" under Explanation 1 to Section Though not an offence, adultery was a defence under criminal law, much like
- jerná jerná It is evident from the above that (i) adultery was considered purely a moral offence, rather than a criminal one; and (ii) even as a civil wrong, the action was based purely on the property rights of the husband in the person of his wife
- june [V) Even the otherwise orthodox Lord Macaulay, who was instrumental in drafting his Notes to the IPC, he opines as follows: prevailing common law position, and opposed the criminalization of adultery. In the IPC, favoured the treatment of adultery as a private wrong, in tune with the

to be reimbursed for the expenses of his marriage. complainant does not ask to have his wife again, he generally demands sufferer as lying in the "per quod servitium amisit." Where the adultery in the Mofussil. The essence of the injury is considered by the the English Courts is, it seems, the real gist of most proceedings for and that generally their principal object is that the woman may be sent honour, but of the loss of a menial whom they cannot easily replace, not of the wound given to their affections, not of the stain on their useful members of their small households, that they generally complain themselves injured by the elopement, that they consider their wives as husbands seldom have any delicate feelings about the intrigue, but think "...the husbands who have recourse in cases of adultery to the Courts of The fiction by which seduction is made the subject of an action in generally poor men whose wives have run away, that these

punishment nor any punishment which we should feel ourselves justified seems to be divided into two classes- those whom neither the existing expected from providing a punishment for adultery. The population These things being established it seems to us that no advantage is to be

th Blackstone's Commentaries on the Laws of England, Book IV (8th edition, 1778), at p. 191-192.

the services of his servant A Latin expression for an action taken by a person against another who wrongfully deprives him of

4

feelings are less delicate will be satisfied by a payment of money. Under such circumstances we think it best to treat adultery merely as a civil infidelity of their wives will not apply to the tribunal at all. Those whose atone. Those whose feelings adultery as one for which a pecuniary compensation will sufficiently in proposing will satisfy, and those who consider the injury produced by of honor are painfully affected by the

بسر زیا urge to civilize the oriental masses. Extracts of the discussion recorded in the It is therefore curious that the act of adultery was transformed from a tortious act Second Report on the IPC are as follows: resorting to extra-legal means to avenge the injury, reflective of the occidental Macaulay were overruled amidst paternalistic concerns regarding the "natives" under common law into a criminal act under Indian law. The views of Lord

grounds, and the innocent will suffer." 19 of poisoning their guilty wives from the want of legal means of redress, they will sometimes poison those who are suspected upon insufficient murdered, or driven to commit suicide. Where husbands are in the habit to the seducers, while their victims will, in three cases out of four, be knowledge. The silence of the Penal Code will give still greater impunity capable of enduring. Many instances of this have come within my own suffers nothing, while his poor victim suffers all that human nature is the streets a degraded outcast. The seducer escapes with impunity, he consent; and she will generally rather take it than be turned out into prove, by numerous witnesses, male and female, his own shame and his wife's dishonor. He has recourse to poison secretly, or with his wife's dreads the disgrace of appearing publicly in one court after another, to which the Mahommedan law is observed. "The rich man," he proceeds, upon any evidence that such cases admit of;" that is to say, in courts in of redress in cases of adultery, he asserts, subject. "not only feels the assurance that he could not get a conviction, but hopelessness on their part of ever getting a conviction in our courts "Colonel Sleeman opposes the reasoning of the Commissioners on this The backwardness of the natives to have recourse to the courts "arises from the

¹⁸ A Penal Code prepared by The Indian Law Commissioners (1838), Notes of Lord Thomas Babington Macaulay, at p. 129.

¹⁹ A Penal Code prepared by The Indian Law Commissioners (1838), The Second Report on the Indian Penal Code, at p. 74.

has had the effect supposed, will be removed, should the Code be poisonings are the consequence..." 20 not refuse, but it fails to punish the offence, says Colonel Sleeman, and assassinations, poisonings, will be the consequence. The law here does punish this offence. The injured party will do it for himself, great crimes, justice, it will be observed, coincide with and confirms practically Mr. the opinion of the Natives, almost impossible to bring an offender to Livingstone's view of the result to be expected when the law refuses to the present system, which, while it recognizes the offence, renders it, adopted. Colonel Sleeman's representation of the actual consequence of presumption arising from circumstantial evidence. This difficulty, if it proof that is scarcely ever to be had in such a case, as having some Mahomedan law of evidence, which demands an amount of positive courts, although the Regulations allow of a conviction upon strong effect in deterring the Natives from prosecuting adulterers difficulty of proving the offence according to the requirement of the a subject for the cognizance of the criminal courts preponderate. We offence from the Code. We think the reasons for continuing to treat it as hesitation, come to the conclusion that it is not advisable to exclude this "Having given mature consideration to the subject, we have, after some that Colonel Sleeman is probably right in regarding the

offence in the IPC was not to preserve the institution of marriage, but to make it easier for a man to prosecute his wife's paramour and secure a conviction. From the above, it appears that the purpose behind inclusion of adultery as an

†..... with fine, or with both. In such case the wife shall not be punishable as an imprisonment of either description for a term which may extend to five years, or abettor." [emphasis supplied] connivance of that man, such sexual intercourse not amounting to the offence Section 497, which consequently became part of the IPC, reads as follows: has reason to believe to be the wife of another man, without the consent or "Whoever has sexual intercourse with a person who is and whom he knows or guilty of the offence of adultery, and shall be punished with

²⁰ A Penal Code prepared by The Indian Law Commissioners (1838), The Second Report on the Indian Penal Code, at p. 76.

2

(J) under common law for his actions, he became criminally liable under Indian law absence the husband, by the person who had care of the woman on his behalf.21 offence under Section 497, except on a complaint by the husband or, in the The only difference was that while the offender was only liable for damages 1973 (the "CrPC"), which expressly bars a Court from taking cognizance of an connivance of the husband would bring the act outside the ambit of criminal the husband, rather than that of the wife. adultery, the offence was predicated upon the lack of consent or connivance of notion of women being the property of their husbands was reinforced therein. A plain reading of the provision, makes it painfully obvious that the archaic wife was given no recourse against her husband or his mistresses This is buttressed by Section 198 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, Presumably therefore, consent or for

Previous Challenges to Section 497

- ground of sex, it did not fall foul of Art. 14. women, it was saved under Art. 15(3), and being a sound classification on the that the prohibition on the punishment of the wife as an abettor violates Arts. 14 State of Bombay, 1954 SCR 930, by a man accused of adultery, who contended The constitutionality of Section 497 was first impugned in Yusuf Abdul Aziz v. This Hon'ble Court held that since this was a special provision for
- 2 unmarried woman, and hence gives licence to the husband to have extra-marital (ii) that it does not include cases where the husband has sexual relations with an prosecute their husbands or the women with whom they commit adultery; and (1985) Supp SCC 137, on different grounds: (i) that it denies women the right to The provision was next challenged in Sowmithri Vishnu v. Union of India,

4

1.00 m

Prosecution for offences against marriage - (1) No Court shall take cognizance of an offence punishable under Chapter XX of the Indian Penal Code except upon a complaint made by some person aggrieved by the offence: ...

⁽²⁾ For the purpose of sub-section (1), no person other than the husband of the woman shall be deemed to be aggrieved by any offence punishable under section 497 or section 498 of the said Code:

Provided that in the absence of the husband, some person who had care of the woman on his behalf at the time when such offence was committed may, with the leave of the Court, make a complaint on his

- ယ woman...". 22 The second ground of challenge was rejected as follows: commonly offence, by its very definition, can only be committed by a man, and "...It is This Hon'ble Court repelled the challenge on the first ground holding that the accepted that it is the man who is the seducer and not the
- extra-marital relationship an offence, "...Law does not confer freedom upon husbands to be licentious by most: A man seducing the wife of another... husband risks or perhaps invites civil action by the wife for separation. and a married woman, the man alone being the offender. gallivanting with unmarried women. It only makes a specific kind of The Legislature is entitled to deal with the evil where it is felt and seen the relationship between a man 3523 An unfaithful
- ,**4**≥ her husband for adultery. The challenge was repelled relying one again questioned on the ground that it does not permit the wife to prosecute In V. Revathi v. Union of India, (1988) 2 SCC 72, the vires of Section 497 was Vishnu, as neither spouse had the right to prosecute the other for adultery under on Sowmithri
- ÇA: by these previous rulings that it be struck off so that nobody is punished for the act. Therefore, the previous judgments are distinguishable, and the present challenge is unaffected context. Instead of seeking to include more people within its ambit, the prayer is fundamental rights and freedoms under Articles 14, 19 and 21 in the current entirely different grounds i.e. that such an offence is not in consonance with the However, in the present case, the constitutionality of Section 497 is assailed on were aggrieved by the fact that it did not penalize certain classes of persons. based on its under-inclusiveness. In other words, the Petitioners, in those cases, Pertinently, all the above challenges to the constitutionality of Section 497 were
- Ġ the touchstone of sexual autonomy and agency of the woman, and consider the injury as being done to the woman, rather than her father or husband. Her past on sexual offences has also undergone a sea change. They are now defined on Articles 14, 19 and 21 of the Constitution of India, as they stand today. The law odds with the fundamental rights guaranteed to every woman (and man) under patriarchal notions of female morality, personhood, and rights are completely at Much water has flown under the bridge since 1860. The British colonial and

²³ Sowmithri Vishnu v. Union of India, (1985) Supp SCC 137, at para 7.

²³ Sowmühri Vishnu v. Union of India, (1985) Supp SCC 137, at para 9

Sections 53A²⁴ and 146²⁵ is reflected in the recent amendments to the rape law under the IPC as well as sexual history is also deemed irrelevant in a prosecution for a sexual offence, as of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872.

~1 In Anuj Garg v. Hostel Association of India, (2008) 3 SCC 1, this Hon'ble Court

invalid". 26 domestic as also in international arena, condition of those times, but with changes occurring therein both in the held to ...it may be pertinent to know that a statute although could have been Ĉ, a valid piece of legislation keeping in view the societal such a law can be declared

emphasized the importance taking into consideration subsequent events while interpreting a law, and held that: Similarly, in John Vallamattom v. Union of India, (2003) 6 SCC 611,

in view of the changed situation." 27 enacted but with passage of time the same may be held unconstitutional "It is further trite that the law although may be donstitutional when

00 case could have been dismissed by placing reliance on Yusuf Abdul Aziz, 29 In Sowmithri Vishnu, 28 itself this Hon'ble Court acknowledged that though the than 30 years had passed since the said decision, it was deemed fit to since

²⁴ Evidence of character or previous sexual experience not relevant in certain cases.

experience with any person shall not be relevant on the issue of such consent or the quality of consent. In a prosecution for an offence under section 354, section 354A, section 354B, section 354C, section 354D or section 376, section 376A, section 376B, section 376C, section 376D or section 376E of the Indian Penal Code (45 of 1860) or for attempt to commit any such offence, where the question of consent is in issue, evidence of the character of the victim or of such person's previous sexual

Ouestions lawful in cross-examination.—

When a witness is cross-examined, he may, in addition to the questions hereinbefore referred to, be any questions which tend-

(1) to test his veracity,

(2) to discover who he is and what is his position in life, or to shake his credit, by injuring his character of the characte

permissible to put questions in the cross-examination of the prosecutrix as to her general to shake his credit, by injuring his character, although the answer to such questions might tend directly or indirectly to criminate him or might expose or tend directly or indirectly to expose him to a penalty or forfeiture. Provided that in a prosecution for rape or attempt to commit rape, it shall not be

²⁶ Anuj Garg v. Hotel Association of India, (2008) 3 SCC 1, at para 7. This Hon'ble Court was herein dealing with the vires of a law that prohibited the employment of women in places where liquor or drugs are consumed by the public.

²⁷ John Vallamattom v. Union of India, (2003) 6 SCC 611, at para 28.

²⁸ Sowmithri Vishnu v. Union of India, (1985) Supp SCC 137, at para 11.

²⁹ Yusuf Abdul Aziz v. State of Bombay, 1954 SCR 930

transformation in the behavioural pattern of women in matters of sex" examine the position afresh, particularly in the light of the alleged social

Interestingly, in the judgment of the Bombay High Court in Yusuf Abdul Aziz, penned by Justice M.C. Chagla in the year 1951, it was observed that:

doing away with section 497 altogether."30 property by their husbands. "It may be argued that section 497 should not find a place in any modern Code of law. Days are past, we hope, when women were looked upon as But that is an argument more in favour of

the IPC conceded that: (1959) Supp 1 SCR 464, despite upholding a conviction under Section 49831 of In fact, as far back as in 1959, this Hon'ble Court in Alamgir v. State of Bihar,

sound inconsistent with the modern notions of the status of women and of the mutual rights and obligations under marriage". 32 "The policy underlying the provisions of Section 498 may no doubt

- 12 Brunei and Iraq. offence therefore, India is in the dubious company of countries like Afghanistan, from their Penal Codes as being unconstitutional.33 In retaining adultery as Guatemala and South Korea have recently struck down the offence of adultery Internationally, most developed countries do not proscribe adultery with North America, barring some states in USA. Even some of our neighbours, such criminal sanction. It is not an offence in Europe, Australia, South America and Lanka and China do not punish adultery. Countries
- Sections 497 of the IPC, read with Section 198, CrPC, strike a dissonant note her husband, which were decried by our own Courts as far back as in the 1950s. triad in the IPC by continuing to reinforce the notion of a woman as chattel of 497, along with Section 498, and the exception of marital rape, 34 form an unholy have elapsed since the decisions in Sowmithri Vishnu and V. Revathi. Section 158 years have now passed since the IPC came into force, and over 30 years

³⁰ Yusuf Abdul Aziz v. The State, 1952 ILR Bom 449, at p. 454.

³¹ Judgment dated 07.03.1996 of the Guatemalan Constitutional Court, File No. 936-95; Judgment dated 26.02.2015 of the South Korean Constitutional Court, 2009 Henna 17.

³² Alamgir v. State of Bihar, (1959) Supp 1 SCR 464, at para 6.

³³ Judgment dated 26.02.2015 of the South Korean Constitutional Court, 2009 Henna 17.

Exception 2 to Section 375 of the IPC, which deals with the offence of "rape" is as follows: "Sexual intercourse or sexual acts by a man with his own wife, the wife not being under fifteen years of age, is

Section 497 be re-examined in the present social and moral context. guaranteed under the Constitution. Hence it is imperative that the vires of odds with adultery as a criminal offence. On the contrary, retention of the offence is at commitments of India have completely eroded the archaic basis for inclusion of perception in society. Subsequent developments in law with the rights and liberties of women in current times, the current interpretation of fundamental rights and freedoms and international as well as their

Violations of Fundamental Rights

The Right to Life, Liberty & Privacy

- adults, so too, does Section 497. as unconstitutional for criminalizing the private sexual conduct of consenting of the Constitution. Much as Section 377 of the IPC deserves to be struck down is an unreasonable restriction on the exercise of such rights, and hence violative the exercise of such rights with imprisonment, as under Section 497 of the IPC, to privacy, and must be protected from excessive State interference. Punishing forms the core of a person's right to life and personal liberty as well as the right It is submitted that consensual sexual activity, be it within or outside of marriage,
- 'n different types of privacy, inter alia as follows: personal life. In his separate opinion, Justice D.Y. Chandrachud extracts nine right to dignity, autonomy and bodily integrity, and various other aspects of fundamental right under the Constitution, which also encompassed within it the Hen'ble Court, unanimously recognized the right to privacy as an overarching In K.S. Puttaswamy v. Union of India, (2017) 10 SCC 1, a 9 Judge Bench of this
- bodily privacy which reflects the privacy of the physical body from violating one's body or from restraining the freedom of bodily movement; Implicit in this is the negative freedom of being able to prevent others
- 201 spatial privacy which is reflected in the privacy of a private space privacy; intimate relations and family life are an apt illustration of spatial through which access of others can be restricted to the space,

- (Sec.) decisional privacy reflected by an ability to make intimate decisions decisions in respect of intimate relations; primarily consisting one's sexual or procreative nature
- (SIN) associational privacy which is reflected in the ability of the individual to choose who she wishes to interact with;..."35 [emphasis supplied]
- زرا sexuality, under the right to privacy, in the following terms: This Hon'ble Court, in K.S. Puttaswamy v. Union of India, (2017) 10 SCC 1, accorded a level of protection to matters of family, marriage and

the mind... 136 and self-determination require a choice to be made within the privacy of faith one will espouse and a myriad other matters on which autonomy of life including what and how one will eat, the way one will dress, the enables the individual to have a choice of preferences on various facets his or her preferences. Read in conjunction with Article 21, liberty to determine how freedom shall be exercised. ... The freedoms under Article 19 can be fulfilled where the individual is entitled to decide upon Above all, the privacy of the individual recognises an inviolable right and sexual orientation are all integral to the dignity of the individual which are crucial to gender identity. The family, marriage, procreation gender, they create a private space which protects all those elements freedom of self-determination. When these guarantees intersect with freedom of thought, the freedom to believe in what is right, and the between one's mental integrity and privacy entitles the individual to the integrity of the physical aspects of personhood. matters of concern to life. ... Privacy of the body entitles an individual to autonomy of the individual is the ability to make decisions on vital enables the individual to retain the autonomy of the body and mind. The "Privacy of the individual is an essential aspect of dignity.....Privacy The intersection

orientation..."37 the sanctity of family life, marriage, procreation, the home and sexual "Privacy includes at its core the preservation of personal intimacies,

Opinion of D.Y. Chandrachud, J., at para 298. 35 K.S. Puttaswamy v. Union of India, (2017) 10 SCC 1, Opinion of D.Y. Chandrachud, J., at para 250

³⁷ Opinion of D.Y. Chandrachud, J., at para 323.

intimate relations, it also highlighted a "zone of privacy" where an individual right of an individual to decisional privacy and autonomy with respect to one's Importantly, in the above decision, this Hon'ble Court not only recognized the

was free to exercise these freedoms without being judged:

preferences and choices against societal demands of homogeneity.".38 others. Privacy enables each individual to take crucial decisions which expectations. In that zone of privacy, an individual is not judged by individual are entitled to a zone of privacy where one is free of social manifested in the ability to make decisions on matter's intimate to hunan "The ability of an individual to make choices lies, at the core of the human personality... The inviolable nature of the human personality is expression in the human personality. It enables individuals Thoughts and behavioural patterns which are intimate to an their beliefs, thoughts, expressions, ideas, ideologies,

- * 50 acknowledged that: In Shafin Jahan v. Asokan N.M, 2018 SCC Online SC 343, this Hon'ble Court
- privacy, which is inviolable... Neither the State nor the law can dictate whether within or outside marriage lies within the exclusive domain Constitution", 39 these matters. a choice of partners or limit the free ability of every person to decide on of each individual. Intimacies of marriage lie within a core zone of which define one's personhood and identity. The choice of a partner in each individual. This includes the ability to take decisions on aspects "...The Constitution recognises the liberty and autonomy which inheres They form the essence of personal liberty under the
- privacy", where he or she is exempt from social stigma or judgment in making regarding his or her sexual nature, desires and preferences, but also to a "zone of entitled not only to decisional privacy i.e. the ability to not only make decisions sexual partner, whether it be male or female; married or unmarried. A person is and personality, including the right of sexual autonomy and to choose one's expression and association embody within them core aspects of one's identity dignity. The freedoms of personal liberty, of choice and the freedom of to life, in being able to choose the manner in which one leads one's life with In light of the above, it is submitted that each individual is guaranteed the right

³⁸ Opinion of D.Y. Chandrachud, J., at para 297.

³⁵ Shafin Jahan v. Asokan N.M, 2018 SCC Online SC 343, Opinion of D.Y. Chandrachud, J., at para 88

him or her. freedom to choose not to marry one's sexual partner or to merely co-habit with individual has the right to marry and start a family, he or she also has the such choices. This right extends to matters of family and marriage. Much as an

---}

- necessarily be civil, rather than criminal in nature. infidelity within the marriage, it is submitted that such consequences must person is bound to bear the consequences of her choices, including that of the same out of her "zone of privacy", lies solely with her husband. While a intimate relations, as the choice to prosecute her sexual partner, thereby taking rights. Further, the woman is denied any decisional privacy with respect to her completely discounts her consent and bodily integrity, is abhorrent to these of criminal prosecution of her partner, the very nature of the offence, autonomy and choice of sexual companion, unreasonably curtailed under threat dignity and personality. Not merely is a woman's right to life and sexual co-exist with the above rights and freedoms, which form the core of human consensual sexual relations merely because one of the parties is married, cannot The offence of adultery, which brings within the ambit of criminal law even which
- Section 498 of the IPC, observed as follows: of Section 497 is made explicitly clear in Alamgir v. State of Bihar, (1959) Supp The denial of a woman's right of sexual autonomy and bodily privacy by virtue SCR 464, where this Hon'ble Court, while upholding a conviction under

OO.

object of having illicit intercourse with her... husband of his custody and his proper control oven his wife with the protect the rights of the husband and not those of the wife. The gist of the offence under Section 498 appears to be the deprivation of the "The provisions of Section 498, like those of Section 497, are intended to

that, though the husband has been deprived of his rights, the wife is her willingness has not detained her. the rights of the husband, it cannot be any defence to the charge to say willing to injure the said rights and so the person who is responsible for have been appropriate; but, since the object of the section is to protect section had been to protect the wife such a construction would obviously impossible to give this meaning to the said word. If the object of the a person against his or her will; but in the context of the section it is .. It may be conceded that the word "detains" may denote detention of

- S connivance that could bring the act outside the ambit of the offence of adultery. the choice of prosecution is that of the husband, and it is his consent and/or considers the husband, and not the wife as the victim of the offence. Therefore, none of the rights of a victim. As is evident from Section 198 of the CrPC, than the author of the crime, it failed to appreciate that the law gave the woman woman involved in an illicit relationship with another man is a "victim", rather Therefore, while decisions like Sowmithri Vishnu, 40 confidently opined that the
- 0 family is the natural and fundamental group unit of society and is entitled to advancing these human right protections, in fact, denudes them. protection by society and the State." Criminalization of adultery, rather than the right to privacy. Article 17 of the International Convention of Civil and Further, this Hon'ble Court has, in decisions such as Gobind v. State of MP, 41 ICCPR, which corresponds to Article 16 of the UDHR, similarly states that "The individual protection of the law against interference with his privacy, family, intimacies of one's self as well as those of the home, family and marriage under Political Rights, 1966 ("ICCPR"), which corresponds to Article 12 of the and R. Rajagopal v. State of Tamil Nadu, 42 accorded protection to the personal as well as attacks on his honour or reputation. 43 Article 23(1) of the Declaration of Human Rights, 1948 ("UDHR"), accords
- toma toma public shaming and humiliation in the hands of the husband to be used against by a husband, is bound to be investigated and become public knowledge. Thus, judgment, giving a blow to her self-esteem, Even a malicious complaint made the existence of the offence of adultery serves as an indiscriminate weapon of character of the woman for engaging in such an act during the trial and in the course of any criminal trial. Orthodox judges may also comment on the general dragging her private affairs into the public domain, as is bound to happen in the prosecution under Section 497, it nevertheless exposes her to social stigma by Article 21 of the Constitution. 44 Though the law shields **a** woman from criminal Every individual has a right to his or her reputation, which is protected under

10

⁴⁰ Sowmithri Vishnu v. Union of India, (1985) Supp SCC 137

^{41 (1975) 2} SCC 148, at para 24;

^{42 (1994) 6} SCC 632, at para 62

⁴³ Article 17 of the ICCPR reads as follows:

correspondence, nor to attacks upon his honour and reputation.

Everyone has the right to the protection of the law against such interference or attacks." be subjected to arbitrary interference with his privacy, family, home

[&]quot; Umesh Kumar v. State of Andhra Pradesh, (2013) 10 SCC 591; Kishore Samrite v. State of Uttar Pradesh, (2013) 2 SCC 398; Om Prakash Chautala v. Kamwar Bhan, (2014) 5 SCC 417.

holds good for the man accused of the offence of adultery as well. the wife, thereby tarnishing her reputation. A violation of the right to reputation

- ,.... |_____ confidentiality of the proceedings proceeding, is not only easier, but makes it possible for parties to preserve hand, proving adultery on the preponderance of probabilities as part of a divorce accused may nevertheless be acquitted for insufficient evidence. On the other thereby destroying their credibility, character and reputation. In the end, the offence itself would involve extensive invasion of the private lives of persons. intercourse has to be proved beyond reasonable doubt. As such, proof of the The standard of proof required in a trial for adultery is very high, as sexual
- درا justice, which are protected under Article 21. set the record straight. This is a gross violation of the principles of natural In addition, in a trial under Section 497, the woman has no right to be heard woman has no role to play in the offence, and hence she has no opportunity to prosecution may consider her to be a hostile witness, and not summon her to also involved. She is neither an accused nor a victim nor a complainant. As a despite the fact that her sexual partner is being tried for an act in which she is As has been contended hereinbefore, the consent or willingness of the sne would be considered an interested party, and the

Unreasonableness of the Restriction/Interference

- 47 right is not only unreasonable but also excessive and disproportionate ground inter alia of compelling State or public interest and morality, amongst same is admittedly not absolute and is subject to reasonable restrictions on the While every person is entitled to the right to privacy and personal liberty, the However, it is submitted that in the present case, the restriction on the
- inin CA Initiation of criminal proceedings against another person, for what is essentially Hon'ble Court in Vikas Yadav v. State of Uttar Pradesh, (2016) 9 SCC 54:: an injury to one's "honour" is extraordinarily harsh. As expounded by this to be curtailed definitely not by application of physical force or threat or a woman, be a wife or sister or daughter or mother, cannot be allowed independence, constitutional identity, individual choice and thought of "One may feel "My honour is my life" but that does one's honour at the cost of another. not mean

assertions."45 is done under eliminating her choice is a crime of extreme brutality, more so, when it self-respect and creating dent in it is destroying her honour. And to right to assault the boy chosen by the girl. Her individual choice is her neither the family members nor the members of the collective has mental cruelty in the name of his self-assumed honour. That apart, so-called brotherly or fatherly honour "honour", guise. It is a vice, comparable condemnable and deplorable Ō medieval or class honour

- Ġ, remedy of divorce. volition i.e. forgiveness and reconciliation, or by recourse to the personal law concerned, it is submitted that the same is easily addressed either by personal outdated and obsolete. Insofar as the "harm" in terms of loss of affection etc. is initially based i.e. injury to the property of the husband in his wife, is now under Section 497, except on a complaint by the husband, thereby treating it as a not to society at large, but suffered only by the aggrieved spouse. This is echoed private complaint. in Section 198, CrPC, which bars a Court from taking cognizance of the offence it causes harm to another. The "harm", as far as adultery is concerned, is caused No doubt, as per the harm principle, the right of a person can be restricted when Further, the concept of "harm" on which the offence was
- in the Second Report on the IPC: enable the husband to obtain divorce, as is clear from the following discussion the prime intentions behind including the offence of adultery in the IPC, was to Under common law, adultery was treated merely as a civil wrong. In fact, one of

imprisonment or fine. By Mr. Livingstone's Code, the woman forfeits her decree of divorce against the guilty woman, if the husband sues for it, at empower, the Court, in the event of their conviction, to pronounce a "We would, however, "matrimonial gains", but is not liable to other punishment." 46 same time that her paramour is put the parties accused on trial together, and sentenced to punishment

and more couples are now choosing to obtain divorce, whether for fault of the spouse The law now recognizes the ability of partners to dissolve their marriages. More or by mutual consent, rather than continue in an unhappy marriage.

Vikas Yadav v. State of Uttar Pradesh, (2016) 9 SCC 541, at para 75
 A Penal Code prepared by The Indian Law Commissioners (1838), The Second Report on the Indian Penal Code, at p. 76.

Hon'ble not override the freedom of married individuals to separate. In V. Revathi, 48 this social evil, and State interest, if any, in preserving the sanctity of marriage, does divorce proceedings against the other. The other of Divorce is now no longer considered a Adultery is one of the grounds on which either spouse is entitled to initiate Court held that:

from the trauma of one of their parents being jailed at the instance of the matrimonial tie by securing divorce. the offence in a spirit of than to drag each other to the criminal court. They can either condone other parent" by permitting them to 'make up' or 'break up' the matrimonial tie rather The philosophy underlying the scheme of these provisions appears to be as between the husband and the wife social good will be promoted to jail. Perhaps it is approaching a as well that the children (if any) are saved 'forgive and forget' and live matrimonial court and snapping They are not enabled to send each the

- , V available On the other hand, if they decide to 'break up', the remedy of divorce is always 'make up', a criminal prosecution against a third party would serve no purpose. are best left to be resolved between the husband and wife. importance of sexual fidelity in the marriage and the subsistence of a marriage nevertheless The above reasoning, which was ironically used to repel a challenge to the constitutionality of Section 497 for not criminalizing the husband or the wife, is sound State basis to strike down the interference into intimate and provision private If they decide to altogether, matters. The for
- 20 cannot be preserved under the threat of criminal prosecution against another. not serve punishing a third party to the marriage, is completely disproportionate and does Criminalizing the private sexual conduct of one of the parties in the process, by any legitimate State aim. Marriages are an intimate family affair and

4

⁴⁷ Section 32(d), Parsi Marriage and Divorce Act, 1936, Section 27(1)(a) of the Special Marriage Act, 1954, and Section 13(1)(i) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, entitle a spouse to seek divorce on the ground of adultery. Divorce on the ground of adultery is permissible under Muslim personal law as well. Section 2(viii)(b) of the Dissolution of Muslim Marriages Act, 1939, also recognizes the woman's right to seek divorce on the ground of cruelty if the husband "associates with women of evil repute of leads an infamous life". Further, Section 2 of The Muslim Personal Law (Shariat) repute of leads an infamous life". Further, Section Application Act, 1936, recognizes the practice of "lian", husband levels false allegations of adultery against her. which gives the wife a right to ask for divorce

⁴⁸ V. Revathi v. Union of India, (1988) 2 SCC 72, at para 4. See also Sowmithri Vishnu v. Union of India, (1985) Supp SCC 137, at paras 12-13, where a complaint under Section 497 was quashed on the ground that since the husband had already obtained divorce on the basis of desertion, no useful purpose would be served by inquiring into whether the wife was in an adulterous relationship.

through punishment". 49 to the free will and affection of the parties, and cannot be enforced in a real way the offence of adultery, "The maintenance of marriage and family should be left the South Korean Constitutional Court eloquently opined, while striking down

12 matrimonial matters in the following words: SCC reconciliation between the parties. In Santhini v. Vijaya Venketesh, (2018) 1 the institution of marriage is Siel S Hon'ble Court underscored the need for reconciliation in to be preserved, the focus must be

assurance that the confidentiality is in no way averted or done away and maintaining an atmosphere of confidence and also requirement of social sensibility. Needless to emphasise, this commands a sense of trust with immense sense of worldly experience absolutely being conscious of inherent sensitivity. The Judge is expected to deal with care, caution and would not be in a position to interact with the parties in the manner as the law commands. By virtue of the nature of the controversy, distant the possibility of reconciliation because the Family Court Judge at the same time so as to be effectively conducted. The spatial distance will "The reconciliation requires presence of both the parties at the same place it has its

Ŋ become public, is enough to sound a death knell for the marriage. her actions would be the subject matter of trial, knowledge of which is bound to the husband would make reconciliation extremely difficult, if not impossible. Though the wife herself may not be punished under Section 497, the fact that consensual) act of the wife open to the process of a criminal trial at the behest of Reconciliation can be achieved with maturity, sensitivity and by building trust and maintaining confidentiality. In these circumstances, throwing a private (and

15

23. Further, insofar as the immorality of an act of adultery is concerned, it is neither discouraged the criminalization of in the following words: perceived as amoral. Lord Macaulay, one of the propounders of the IPC, himself desirable nor serves any legitimate purpose to criminalize every act which is

because those acts are immoral, or that because as act is not punished as a body of ethics, that the legislature ought to punish acts merely "We cannot admit that a Penal Code is by any means to be considered

⁴⁹ Judgmem dated 26.02.2015 of the South Korean Constitutional Court, 2009 Henna 17.

Santhini v. Vijaya Venketesh, (2018) 1 SCC 1, Majority Opinion of Dipak Misra, C.J.I., at para 48

from death may be far worse than the starving wretch who snatches and the former for hard-heartedness."51 devours the rice. Yet we punish the latter for theft, and we do not punish we have punishments for assault and mischief, and none for ingratitude. The rich man who refuses a mouthful of rice to save a fellow creature the man who aims a blow in passion, or breaks a window in frolic. Yet gross ingratitude and insolence deserves more severe reprehension than which are punishable. The man who treats a generous benefactor with things which are not punishable are morally worse than many things at all it follows that the legislature considers that act as innocent. Many

12 with imprisonment of 2 years. In doing so, the Court eloquently held as follows: by a majority of 7:2, Article 241 of their Penal Code, which punished adultery In 2015, the South Korean Constitutional Court struck down as unconstitutional, are being abolished globally... legal interests, the State power should not intervene. Adultery crimes harmful to society, or that there is no apparent violation of specific essentially inherent in the private life of the individual and are not so the trend of modern criminal law that, even though immoral acts are recognize that it is appropriate for the State to punish adultery. It is also determination has spread, it is no longer possible for the public to ...as public consciousness about social structure, marriage and sex has and the awareness of the importance of sexual

excessive prohibition and infringing on people's right to self determination ...the judgment clause violates the Constitution as violating the principle of

25 adultery restricting the right to privacy pr sexual autonomy. Hence, the criminalization of such as morality or the sanctity of marriage are not reasonable bases for longer be considered amoral by society. It is therefore submitted that grounds can remain immutable over centuries, and continue to punish acts which may no time. To the contrary, criminal law, as is seen in the constancy of the IPC itself, immorality is that morality of a society is constantly in flux, and changes over Further, the fallacy in penalizing a private wrong merely on grounds of unconstitutional for constituting excessive and unreasonable

³¹ A Fenal Code prepared by The Indian Law Commissioners (1838), Notes of Lord Thomas Babington Macaulay, at p. 130-131.

intimate relations, which are protected under the fundamental right to privacy interference by the State into affairs of the family, marriage and choice

B. The Right to Equality

3 discriminatory, disproportionate, manifestly arbitrary, and does not further any legitimate State purpose marital status of the woman. It is submitted that such classification bears no 497 criminalizes adultery based on a classification on the ground of sex and It is a settled position of law that both men and women are equal under Article 14, which also grants both men and women equal protection of the law. Section nexus Mith He object sought to be achieved, and

Discrimination

- <u>.</u> of sex and marital status by treating equals unequally for the following reasons: Section 497 negates equal treatment of the law and discriminates on the grounds
- (a) The consent or willingness of the woman is irrelevant to the offence, but it is the lack of consent or connivance of the husband, which is considered material
- (b) Section 497, IPC, read with Section 198, CrPC, gives the man the sole right proceedings thereunder. to lodge a complaint and precludes a woman from initiating criminal
- (c) Sexual relations by a married woman with an unmarried or married man are criminalized, whereas those of a married man with an unmarried woman do not invoke any criminal sanction.

1

2 recognized the gender bias evident in the provision, as follows: torus | Marie W. Kalyani v. State, (2012) 1 SCC 358, this Hon'ble Court has itself

man can be proceeded against and punished for the dffence of adultery. as it stands, it is evident from a plain reading of the section that only a showing a strong gender bias for it makes the position of a married Indeed, the section provides expressly that the wife cannot be punished woman almost as a property of her husband. But in terms of the law "The provision is currently under criticism from certain quarters for

be proceeded against for that offence."52 makes her completely immune to the charge of adultery and she cannot even as an abettor. Thus, the mere fact that the appellant is a woman

- 29 Section 497 is also contrary to the obligations of India under the ICCPR and the to marriage, during marriage and at its dissolution."54 appropriate steps to ensure equality of rights and responsibilities of spouses as 1970 ("CEDAW"). 53 Article 23(4) of the ICCPR, obligates Convention on Elimination of all forms of Discrimination Against Women, States to "take
- S marital status, reads as follows: eliminate discrimination against women in all matters relating to marriage. 55 Similarly, Article 16 of CEDAW requires the State 10 ensure equality and Article 1 of CEDAW, which prohibits any restriction on the basis of sex and

social, cultural, civil or any other field." human rights and fundamental freedoms in the political, economic, of their marital status, on a basis of equality of men and women, of mullifying the recognition, enjoyment or exercise by women, irrespective made on the basis of sex which has the effect or purpose of impairing or against women" shall mean any distinction, exclusion or restriction "For the purposes of the present Convention, the term "discrimination

enshrined under CEDAW, as the laws tend to be discriminatory and, even in The UN Working Group on Discrimination Against Women in Law and in has also called for decriminalization of adultery based on the rights

.

⁵² W. Kalyani v. State, (2012) 1 SCC 358, at para 10.

¹³ India signed the CEDAW in 1980, and ratified it in 1993, with a few reservations. These reservations have not been made to the Articles referred to in the present submissions.

appropriate steps to ensure equality of rights and responsibilities of spouses as to marriage, during marriage and at its dissolution. In the case of dissolution, provision shall be made for the necessary protection of any children." ⁵⁴ Art. 23(4) of the ICCPR reads as follows: "States Parties to the present Covenant shall take

⁵⁵ The relevant extract of Article 16 of CEDAW is as follows:
"1. States Parties shall take all appropriate measures to eliminate discrimination against women in all matters relating to marriage and family relations and in particular shall ensure, on a basis of equality

⁽c) The same rights and responsibilities during marriage and at its dissolution,

⁽g) The same personal rights as husband and wife, including the right to choose a family name, profession and an occupation..."

jurisdictions where the law is gender neutral, they are usually invoked to the detriment of women. 56

رن) سر context, this Hon ble Court held as follows: expositions of gender equality, autonomy and self-determination in the current provisions of CEDAW. In a judgment that forms perhaps one of the best In the landmark decision of Anuj Garg v. Hotel Association of India, (2008) 3 1914, ⁵⁷ as ultra vires Articles 14, 15 and 21 of the Constitution, read with the l, this Hon'ble Court, declared Section 30 of the Punjab Excise Act,

Articles 14 and 15 of the Constitution, the constitutional goal in that sexes was unknown. The makers of the Constitution intended to apply behalf was sought to be achieved... equality amongst men and women in all spheres of life. "When the original Act was enacted, the concept of equality between two In framing

the 21st century..." they prevailed in the early 20th century, may not be a rational criteria in will bear repetition to state, having regard to the societal conditions as The criteria which in the absence of any constitutional provision and, it classification, such classification must be founded on a rational criteria. When a discrimination is sought to be made on the purported ground of

32 the Constitution. Further, in placing punitive restrictions on the sexual activities Section 497 also discriminates on the basis of marital status and does not ensure of the wife outside of marriage, while granting impunity to men in this regard, hence falls foul of the fundamental right to equality enshrined in Article 14 of her marital status and gender, despite shielding her from actual prosecution, and imposes additional, onerous and punitive restrictions on a woman, depending on criminal prosecution, while a married man and/or an unmarried woman are free in terms of her sexual choices and partners by subjecting such partners Section 497. It denies a married woman equality of autonomy and opportunity can no longer be justified as a rational basis for the classification inherent in the property of her husband, who can easily fall prey to seduction by another, In light of the above, an offence based on the age-old concept of the wife being exercise these personal choices without fear of the law. The provision

⁵⁶ UN Human Rights Special Procedures, Working Group on the Issue of Discrimination Against Women in Law and in Practice, October, 2012.

The provision prohibited the employment of women in places where liquor or intoxicating drugs

and 16 of CEDAW, which mandate equality within marriage. equality in marriage, and hence violates Article 23 of the ICCPR and Articles 1

- () () for the following reasons: However, such a justification also fails the test of any compelling State purpose discrimination", since the offence, as it now stands, does not encompass women. State has tried to justify the law on the ground of "protective
- ٨ Adultery is often used as a tool to oppress and shame women; and
- Ö The State now proposes to include women within the ambit of the offence.
- ().) ().) First, under the guise of protective discrimination, the offence of adultery, in fact, perpetuates oppression of women, as elucidated hereinafter
- <u>a</u> mode of oppression for women. He notes as follows: Lord Macaulay, as far back as in 1860, recognized the use of adultery as
- already too weak. It will be time enough to guard the matrimonial would strengthen hands already too strong. It would weaken a class reasonable and mutually beneficial."58 contract by are inclined to think that if not nugatory it would be oppressive. It believe that any enactment on this subject would be nugatory. And we reluctant to adopt... We have given the reasons which lead us to husband of fill his zenana with women, is a course which we are most inconstancy of the wife while the law admits the privilege of the of a husband with several rivals. To make laws for punishing the neglected for other wives while still young. They share the attentions France. They are married while still children. unhappily very different from that of the women of England and infidelity of wives. lead a humane man to pause before he determines to punish the peculiarities in the state of society in this country which may well Of the nuptial contract, we cannot but feel that there are some are closely connected with the chastity of women, and the sacredness Though we well know that the dearest interests of the human race penal sanctions The condition of the women of this country is when that contract becomes just, They are often

³⁸ A Penal Code prepared by The Indian Law Commissioners (1838), Notes of Lord Thomas Babington Macaulay, at p. 130-131.

- 3 liable, as is evident from the following discussion: Lord Macaulay's views on the hapless state of women in the country were considered only to the limited extent of not making women criminally
- from the Code, we would limit its cognizance to adultery committed alone liable to punishment." 59 this country, in deference to it we would render the male offender the last remark in Note Q, regarding the condition of the women of with a married woman, and considering that there is much weight in "While we think that the offence of adultery ought not to be omitted
- 0 of oppression may have changed, the injury it inflicts is still severe equality and justice are yet imbalanced. In other words, while the weapon has been much progress since Lord Macaulay's time, the scales of gender other barbaric forms of punishment imposed by the society. While there adultery, be it chastisement by the husband or ostracism, condemnation or who have had to unfairly and unequally bear the social consequences of married men are either ignored or excused. Historically, it is the women been targeted mostly against married women, while the dalliances of repercussions of such an offence on her. As a moral offence, adultery has The supposed protection given to women under Section 497, not only highlights her lack of sexual agency, but also ignores the
- (d) In Amy Garg, 60 this Hon'ble Court warned that:
- outmoded in content and stifling in means. and conception of sexual role. The perspective thus arrived at is It is to be borne in mind that legislations with pronounced legislation suffers from incurable fixations of stereotype morality Legislation showld not be only assessed on its proposed aims but assessing the implications edged swords. "protective discrimination" aims... potentially serve as doubleon its Strict scrutiny test should be employed while implementation and effects. of this variety of legislations The impugned
- 44 No law in its ultimate effect should end up perpetuating the which cannot be compromised in the name of expediency unless oppression of women. Personal freedom is a fundamental tenet

⁵⁹ A Penal Code prepared by The Indian Law Commissioners (1838), The Second Report on the Indian Penal Code, at p. 76.

Anuj Garg v. Hotel Association of India, (2008) 3 SCC 1, at paras 46-47.

of scrutiny is the normative threshold for judicial review in such and until there is a compelling State purpose. Heightened level

- 0 and deny her the custody of her children. deny the wife a right to maintenance or alimony, or to malign her character prosecute her companion, despite the fact that their marriage may have broken down. Adultery is also used strategically at the time of divorce, to amount of control over her sexual activities, by giving him the right to illustrate, the provision gives the husband of a separated wife an unhealthy conception of sexual The offence of Section 497 is used to stifle a woman's freedom to choose sexual partners, role, imposes upon her and hence perpetuates her b stereotype oppression. morality
- serve no public good having already obtained divorce. Punishment in such circumstances can adultery, and keeping in mind the social stigma attached to such an However, in his zeal to establish that his former wife had been living in desertion and hence found it unnecessary to render any finding on adultery. desertion and adultery. The Courts granted him divorce on the ground of divorce against her husband on the ground of desertion, but failed to obtain essentially from divorce proceedings. The Petitioner/ wife had filed for example of this is Sowmithri Vishnu's case, 61 itself, which emanated Further, the adverse social consequences on a woman, of the conviction of reputation sought to be tarnished for engaging in adulterous acts. A classic her sexual partner, are unimaginable. She is often publicly shamed and her he filed a complaint under S. 497 against her partner, despite Thereafter, the husband filed for divorce on the grounds of
- 35. Secondly, the State's proposal of bringing women within the ambit of the abhorrent to the present understanding of personal liberties and freedoms offence not only negates any notion of "protective discrimination", but is also
- (<u>a)</u> It is submitted that the inherent inequality and male patriarchy embodied so as to bring women also within its ambit. This would only expand the in Section 497 cannot be remedied by making the provision gender neutral violation of fundamental rights wreaked by the law to a larger class of

⁶¹ Sowmithri Vishnu v. Union of India, (1985) Supp SCC 137.

restored between sexes in matters of matrimony and sexual autonomy. only by striking down the offence that some semblance of equality be towards positive equality, rather than foistering negative equality. It is women as a consequence thereof. Any amendment to the law should strive persons, and deepen the gender inequality and oppression suffered by

3 observing as follows: down the offence of adultery in its Penal Code as being discriminatory, The Guatemalan Constitutional Court has done exactly this by striking

and must also be expelled from the legal system."62 grant pardon for not pursuing it, and if article 232 violates the right to equality, articles 233 and 234 of that body of law also contradict it criminal action for the punishment of the crime of adultery and to of the Criminal Code give the husband the exclusive right to exercise appropriate to eliminate it from the legal system. Articles 233 and 234 that enshrines the right not to be discriminated discriminatory is in contradiction with article 40 of the Constitution spouses. The article of the Penal Code that is analyzed for being values, he would have sanctioned infidelity on equal terms for both order and against marital status, because of these were the protected find its location or justification within the crimes same factual situation is not reasonable and this regulation cannot identical acts. The difference established by the legislator for the only the conjugal infidelity of women, gives unequal treatment to observed by the married man. woman is what configures adultery, not the identical behavior relationship with the crime, the unfaithful behavior of the married crime of adultery, the gender having a circumstances, if committed by the married man does not typify the a supreme value in Article 40 ... it establishes that a married woman concurrence is treated in a discriminatory way because of her sex, since the "The right of equality acquires in our Constitution full recognition as of the same facts This criminal figure that sanctions under the same conditions direct and unequivocal against the family against,

No Rational Nexus to its Purported Objects

s2 Judgment dated 07.03.1996 of the Guatemalan Constitutional Court, File No. 936-95.

- 36 completely misconceived and overlooks the historical origins of the offence of adulterous relationships.⁶⁴ It is submitted that this contention of the State is legitimate interest of the society is protected in punishing at least, a limited class institution of marriage. Reliance is placed on Sowmithri Vishnu, 63 to urge that a sought to be achieved by retaining Section 497 in the IPC is preserving the As per the Counter Affidavit filed on behalf of the Union of India, the object
- زر) اح: First, the object of the offence was never the preservation of marriage, but the from the following: preservation of the proprietary right of a husband over his wife, as is evident
- (0) Adultery was not a criminal offence in England at the time of introduction under the IPC, lie in the notion that a wife is the private property of her trespass. The origins of this tort, which then became a criminal offence of the IPC, but used to be a tort enabling the husband to claim damages for husband
- ٣ divorce upon conviction for adultery, as is evident from the following woman of her 'matrimonial gains' by enabling the husband to sue for that one of the reasons for including the offence was to deprive the erring completely silent on the aspect of sanctity of marriage. Rather, they reveal The discussions preceding inclusion of the offence in the IPC are
- her "matrimonial gains", but is not liable to other punishment." ⁶⁵ imprisonment or fine. By Mr. Livingstone's Code, the woman forfeits at the same time that her paramour is sentenced to punishment by decree of divorce against the guilty woman, if the husband sues for it, empower the Court, in the event of their conviction, to pronounce a "We would, however, put the parties accused on trial together, and
- 0 cannot be justified as a crime against family order or marital status. 66 offence. Hence, as observed by the Guatemalan Supreme destructive of the fabric of marriage, are exempt from the purview of the Extra-marital affairs by men outside the marriage, which are equally

⁶³ Sowmithri Vishnu v. Union of India, (1985) Supp SCC 137.

⁶⁴ Counter Affidavit of the Union of India, at p. 2-3.

⁶⁵ A Penal Code prepared by The Indian Law Commissioners (1838), The Second Report on the Indian Penal Code, at p. 76.

Judgment dated 07.03.1996 of the Guatemalan Constitutional Court, File No. 936-95.

- Õ marriage that has already irretrievably broken down. separated wife's companion for adultery under the pretext of saving the State interest can be served by permitting the husband to prosecute his The provision does not distinguish between cohabiting and separated wives, even though a formal divorce has not been obtained. No legitimate
- 00 more harm to the marriage than good, as is evident from the following: engaging in sexual relations with married women. On the other hand, it can do preservation of marriage or sexual fidelity within marriage is actually achieved Second, the contention of the State is vague and based entirely on an outdated moral notion of marriage. It has failed to show that the purported object i.e. penalizing adultery or that the existence of the offence deters men from
- ø would endanger the chances of reconciliation in the marriage will be tried for an act in which she is also a participant, and hence she The marriage may not be able to withstand the process of the criminal would be a crucial witness in the proceedings. Such a traumatic experience trial. Though the woman may not herself be an accused, another person
- his trial and subsequent conviction itself could ruin his marriage. involved in the circumstances. In the event the alleged adulterer is married, The State has failed to appreciate that there may be two marriages
- Õ If the husband, despite initiating criminal proceedings under Section 497 refuses to give divorce, the woman may be trapped in an unhappy and vengeful marriage

Manifest Arbitrariness

Š. test was described in the following words: recognized manifest arbitrariness as a test to determine the vires of a law. The In Shayara Bano v. Union of India, (2017) 9 SCC 1, this Honble Court

determining principle. Also, when something is done which is excessive "Manifest arbitrariness, legislature capriciously, \ irrationally therefore, must be something done by the and/or without adequate

legislation as well under Article 14." (para 101) arbitrariness are, therefore, of the view that arbitrariness in the sense of manifest and disproportionate, such legislation would be manifestly arbitrary. We S pointed out by us above would apply to negate

- irrational and disclose no determining principles. prevent poisoning of the wife by her husband for want, of legal redress. 69 It is with the law. 68 Further, the purported reasons given for its inclusion are to required for passing a law in England, 67 leaving a free field for experimentation colony required none of the public debate or discussion which would have been inclusion in the IPC despite this could be the fact that imposition of laws in a common law when the IPC was brought into force. A possible reason for its First, as discussed hereinabove, of the British colonizers, based on reasons which are completely apparent that Section 497 of the IPC was included on the whims and adultery was not a criminal offence under
- Second, assuming that protection of marriages is the purported object of the law it is a wholly disproportionate and excessive means of achieving the goal.
- (a) In Amy Garg, 70 this Hon'ble Court held that:

between the means used and the aim pursued." discriminating on the basis of sex, race, caste or any other like basis. freedom to should be a functioning modern democratic society which ensures In fine, there should be a reasonable relationship of proportionality other bulk of well-settled gender norms such as autonomy, equality of aim of protecting the interests of women are proportionate to the the State in the form of legislative mandate, to augment the legitimate "The court's task is to determine whether the measures furthered by right to privacy, et al. The bottom line in this behalf pursue varied opportunities and options

3 Section 497 goes against the grain of the modern notions of sexual autonomy, equality of opportunity to form sexual associations, and the

J. F. Stephen, A History of the Criminal Law of England (1883), Vol. III, at p. 304

⁶⁸ Radhika Singha, A Despotism of Law: Crime and Justice in Early Colonial India (1998).

⁶⁹ A Penal Code prepared by The Indian Law Commissioners (1838), The Second Report on the Indian Penal Code, at p. 74-76.

 $^{^{70}}$ Anuj Garg v. Hotel Association of India, (2008) 3 SCC 1, at para 51

therefore completely disproportionate to the aims it seeks to achieve. is tantamount to using a machete where, what is required is a balm. This is private, intimate and consensual act, under the pretext of saving marriages, reconciliation. Criminal sanction of a third party for what is essentially a Marriages are better saved through personal relationship and fostering consequence consensual sexual relations with her, merely because she is married. The right to privacy of women, and puts a man on trial for engaging in of a conviction could result in a 5 year imprisonment.

B In view of the above, it is submitted that Section 497 suffers from the vice of manifest arbitrariness, and hence must be struck down.

fundamental rights and freedoms available to persons under the Constitution of India, In sum, it is humbly submitted that Section 497 is abhorrent to the current notion of and hence deserves to be struck down.