- Imran Pratapgadhi Case
  - Facts of the Case
    - Allegations and FIR
      - Poem in video
      - Incitement allegations
      - Offences registered (BNS)
        - Sections 196, 197(1), 302, 299, 57, 3(5)
    - High Court Proceedings
      - Appellant petitioned to quash FIR
      - Petition rejected
  - Arguments Made
    - Appellant's Submissions
      - Social media varied responses
      - Poem promotes non-violence
      - FIR violates freedom of speech
    - Solicitor General's Submissions
      - Fair stand
      - Police obligation to register FIR
  - What was Held (Supreme Court)
    - Poem's Nature
      - No connection to religion, community, region, race
    - Non-Applicability of Alleged Offences
    - Obligation to Register FIR and Preliminary Inquiry
      - Assessing words for cognizable offence
        - Not prohibited preliminary inquiry
      - Preliminary inquiry appropriate (BNSS 173(3))
        - For offences up to 7 years
        - Protects freedom of speech (Article 19(1)(a))
      - Police bound by Constitution
        - Respect liberty of thought/expression
        - Police must be educated/sensitised
      - Information did not constitute offences
        - Even without BNSS 173(3)