- S.N. Vijayalakshmi v State of Karnataka Case Summary
  - Factual Background
    - Origin
      - Private Complaint Report
      - Filed by Keerthiraj Shetty
    - Accused
      - S. N. Vijayalakshmi
      - V. S. Sridevi
      - V. S. Srilekha
      - K. V. Krishnaprasad
      - Family Members
      - Original Joint Owners: K. V. Jayalakshmamma, K. V. Srinivasa Murthy, K. V. Prabhakar
    - Core Dispute
      - Agreement to Sell Executed
      - Between Accused & Complainant (Nominee of Ravishankara Shetty)
      - Consideration: Rs. 3,50,00,000
      - General Power of Attorney (GPA)
    - Complainant Allegations
      - Accused Refused to Honor Agreement
      - Revoked GPA
      - Transferred Property to Appellant (Release Deed & Gift Deed)
      - Filed Criminal Complaint & Civil Suit
  - Legal Reasoning
    - Applicability of IPC Sections
      - Section 406 (Criminal Breach of Trust)
        - Not Applicable
        - Appellants Owned Property
        - No 'Entrustment' by Complainant
      - Section 420 (Cheating)
        - No Fraudulent/Dishonest Inducement
        - Complainant's Averment Contradicted (Cheating 'After' Market Value Increase)
        - No Dishonest Intention at Inception
        - Agreement Stipulated Possession on Sale Deed
        - Falsified Claim of Earlier Possession
        - Negated 'Delivery of Property' Element
    - Court Conclusion
      - Allegations Lacked 'Overwhelming Element of Criminality'
      - Dispute Essentially Civil Nature
      - Continuance of Criminal Proceedings: Abuse of Process
    - Procedural Aspect
      - Priyanka Srivastava Guidelines
      - Non-compliance: Curable Defect
      - Complainant Filed Affidavit Before Referral Order
  - Supreme Court Judgment
    - Appeal Allowed
      - Criminal Proceedings Quashed
      - Consequential Orders Quashed
      - Chargesheet Quashed
      - Cognizance Order Quashed
    - Benefit Extended to Co-accused
      - Vidyasree V. S. (Daughter)
      - Grounds: Parity & Interest of Justice
    - Civil Suit Clarification
      - Can Continue
    - Prohibition on Appellants
      - No Third-Party Rights in Property
      - Until SC Views Pending Litigation
    - Judicial Discomfort
      - Perceived Collusive Litigation
      - Between BDA & Appellants
      - Compromised Common Citizens' Interests