



IN THE COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

**WRIT PETITION NO. 6415/2016**

(Dinesh Biwaji Ashtikar vs. The State of Maharashtra and others )

---

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of  
Coram, appearances, Court's orders  
of directions and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's order

.....  
Shri Anant Dixit, Advocate for the petitioner  
Shri A.A.Madiwale, Assistant Government Pleader for  
Respondent Nos. 1 & 2  
Mr. A.Y. Kapgate, Advocate for Respondent No.3

**CORAM : SMT. VASANTI A. NAIK &**  
**MRS. SWAPNA JOSHI, JJ.**

**DATED : 20<sup>th</sup> December, 2016.**

Heard.

By this Writ Petition, the petitioner seeks a direction to the respondents to admit the kids of the petitioner in the 25% quota meant for free education.

Admittedly, the petitioner had not applied for admission of his kids in 25% free education quota when the process was undertaken *online*, by the respondents. There would be several persons like the petitioner who would be below the poverty line but who may for the reasons best known to them, have not applied for admission of their kids in the 25% quota for free education. If the petitioner had failed to take appropriate steps to admit his kids in the free education quota, the petitioner should blame himself. If the relief is granted in favour of the petitioner it would not be possible for this Court to deny the relief to several others who would approach this Court with similar prayer that though they have not applied in terms of the

*online* process for free education, their children should also be granted the relief. As the petitioner had not sought the admission of his kids in the 25% quota meant for free education, the relief sought by the petitioner cannot be granted.

In the circumstances of the case, the Writ Petition is dismissed, with no order as to costs.

JUDGE

JUDGE

*sahare*