

ADVOCATE'S CHECK LIST (TO BE CERTIFIED BY ADVOCATE-ON-RECORD)

1.	SLP (Crl.) has been filed in Form No.28 with certificate.	N/A
2.	The petition is as per the provisions of Order XV, Rule 1.	N/A
3.	The papers of SLP have been arranged as per Order XXII, Rule (3)(1)(f).	N/A
4.	Brief list of dates/events has been filed.	YES
5.	Paragraphs and pages of paper books have been numbered consecutively and correctly noted in Index.	YES
6.	Proper and required numbers of paper books (1+1) have been filed.	YES
7.	The particulars of the impugned judgment passed by the court(s) below are uniformly written in all the documents.	YES
8.	In case of appeal by certificate the appeal is accompanied by judgment and decree appealed from and order granting certificate.	N/A
9.	The annexures referred to in the petition are true copies of the documents before the court(s) below and are filled in chronological order as per list of dates.	YES
10.	The annexures referred to in the petition are filed and indexed separately and not marked collectively.	YES
11.	In the SLP against the order passed in Second Appeal, copies of the orders passed by the trial court and first appellate court have been filed.	N/A
12.	The complete listing proforma has been filled in, signed and included in the paper books.	YES
13.	In a petition (PIL) filed under clause(d) of Rule 12(1) Order XXXVIII, the petitioner has disclosed:	YES
	(a) His full name, complete postal address, e-mail address,	

	phone number, proof regarding personal identification, occupation and annual income, PAN number and National Unique Identity Card Number, if any; (b) The facts constituting the cause of action; (c) The nature of injury caused or likely to be caused to the public; (d) The nature and extent of personal interest, if any of the petitioner(s); (e) Details regarding any civil, criminal or revenue litigation, involving the petitioner or any of the petitioners which has or could have a legal nexus with the issue(s) involved in the Public Interest Litigation.	
14.	In case of appeal under Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007, the petitioner/appellant has moved before the Armed Forces Tribunal for granting certificate for leave to appeal to the Supreme Court.	N/A
15.	All the paper books to be filed after curing the defects shall be in order.	YES

I hereby declare that I have personally verified the petition and its content and it is in conformity with the Supreme Court Rules, 2013, I certify that the above requirements of this check list have been complied with; I further certify that all the documents necessary for the purpose of hearing of the matter have been filed.

Signature-

AOR's Name-Chand Qureshi

AOR Code-3063

Place:-New Delhi

Date:-09/10/2026

INDEX

Sl. No.	Particulars of Documents	Page No. of part to which it belongs		Remarks
(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)	(v)
1.	O/R on limitation	A	A	
2.	Listing Performa	A1-A2	A1-A2	
3.	Cover Page of Paper-Book	A3	A3	
4.	Index of Records of Proceedings		A4	
5.	Limitation Report Prepared by Registry		A5	
6.	Defect List		A6	
7.	Note Sheet		A7	
8.	Synopsis and List of Dates	B-D		
9.	Contempt petition (Civil) in Writ Petition (Civil) Diary No.63560 of 2026 with affidavit.	1-8		
10.	ANNEXURE P-1 True copy of the impugned order dated-09/10/2026 in Writ Petition (Civil) Diary No.63560 of 2026.	9-12		
11.	I.A. No. of 2026	13-17		

	An application seeking permission to file the contempt petition.			
12.	I.A. No. of 2026 An application seeking exemption from filing the duly shown affidavit.	15-16		
13.	Vakalatnama	17		
14.	Filing Memo.	18		

PERFORMA FOR FIRST LISTING

SECTION-II

The case pertains to:

	Central Act (Title)	Contempt of Court Act
	Sections	12
	Central Rule (Title)	N/A
	Rule No(s).	N/A
	State Act (Title)	N/A
	Section	N/A
	State Rule (Title)	N/A
	Rule No(s).	N/A
	Impugned Interim Order (Date)	N/A
	Impugned Final Order/Decree (Date)	09/10/2023
	High Court (Name)-	
	Name of Judges:	
	Tribunal/Authority (Name)	N/A

1. Nature of matter Civil

2.	(a) Petitioner/Appellant (b) Email ID © Mobile Phone Number	Shailendra Mani Tripathi N/A 8826770713
3.	(a) Respondent No.1 (b) Email ID © Mobile Phone Number	Govind Mohan N/A N/A
4.	(a) Main Category Classification (b) Sub-classification	14 14P
5.	Not to be listed before	N/A
6A	Similar disposed of matter with citation, if any and case details and	No similar matter is disposed of.
6B	Similar pending matter with case details.	No similar matter is pending.

7. Criminal Matters No

	(a) Whether accused/convict has surrendered	N/A
	(b) FIR No.	N/A
	(c) Police Station	N/A
	(d) Sentence Awarded	N/A
	(e) Period of sentence undergone	N/A

	including period of detention/custody undergone	
	(f) Whether any earlier case between the same parties is filed-	No
	(g)Particulars of the FIR and case-	N/A
	(h)Whether any bail application was preferred earlier and decision thereupon-	N/A

8. Land Acquisition matters

	(a)Date of Section 4 notification	N/A
	(b)Date of Section-6 notification	N/A
	(c)Date of Section-17 notification	N/A
9.	Tax Matters: State the Tax Effect	N/A
10.	Special Category (First Petitioner/Appellant only)	Senior Citizen>65 years N/A SC/ST N/A Women/Child N/A Disabled N/A Legal Aid Case N/A In Custody N/A
11.	Vehicle Number (In case of motor accident claim matter)	N/A
12.	Whether there was/is litigation on the same point of law, if yes, details thereof.	No Litigation on the same point of law

Filed By

Chand Qureshi

Filed On:-09/10/2026

Advocate for the Petitioner

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CONTEMPT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. OF 2026
IN
WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) DIARY NO.63560 OF 2026
IN THE MATTER OF
SHAIENDRA MATI TRIPATHIPETITIONER
VERSUS
GOVIND MOHAN & Ors RESPONDENTS

With
I.A. No. of 2026
An application seeking permission to file the contempt petition

With
I.A. No. of 2026
An application seeking exemption from filing the duly shown
affidavit

PAPER-BOOK
[FOR INDEX KINDLY SEE INSIDE]
ADVOCATE FOR THE PETITIONER: CHAND QURESHI

Synopsis and List of Dates

The present contempt petition is being preferred by the petitioner seeking immediate intervention of this Hon'ble Court to prevent the contemnors from blatantly infringing the fundamental rights of the petitioner and the people of Delhi, in spite of the specific order in this regard.

The contemnors issued officer orders and public notices that in view of the protest call given by different groups of people and the CJP, 57 stations of Delhi Metro will be closed for public use.

The Petitioner, an Advocate practicing before this Hon'ble Court who was present and represented interests during the hearing in Court No. 1 on 09.10.2026, is constrained to approach this Hon'ble Court under Article 129 of the Constitution of India read with Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971. The present Contempt Petition seeks initiation of contempt proceedings against the named Contemnors/Respondents for their willful, deliberate, and contumacious disobedience of the explicit judicial directions contained in the order dated 09.10.2026 passed in Writ Petition (Civil) Diary No. 63560 of 2026 (M.A. Baby v. Union of India & Ors.).

By way of an oral mentioning on 09.10.2026, this Hon'ble Court was apprised of blanket, overreaching notifications issued by the Delhi Police and Transport Authorities instructing the total

cessation/cancellation of Delhi Metro services across 57 stations and Mail/Express trains arriving at New Delhi and Hazrat Nizamuddin Railway Stations. Recognizing that essential public mobility was brought to a standstill, this Hon'ble Court recorded the commitment of the learned Solicitor General of India and categorically held that:

There shall be no outright prohibition on the movement of Metro trains or essential railway transit;

Transport authorities were mandated to switch from blanket "prohibition" to proportionate "regulation" so as to avoid any harassment or inconvenience to the citizens;

Any such regulatory framework and traffic advisory was required to be widely published across print and digital media immediately; and

Compliance reports were directed to be submitted within four days.

Notwithstanding the solemn judicial mandate and the assurance recorded before the Bench of Hon'ble the Chief Justice, the Contemnors have consciously maintained a blanket shutdown across transit corridors, persisted with unwarranted train cancellations, and failed to issue or publicize transparent traffic and transit advisories. This deliberate recalcitrance has severely crippled public life across the National Capital Territory, stranding

essential travelers, and amounts to an intentional attempt to bypass and undermine the authority and majesty of this Hon'ble Court. Hence, this Petition

List of Dates and Events

The Joint Commissioner of Delhi Police, Mr. Rajneesh Gupta, issued a communication to the Managing Director of the Delhi Metro asking to close 57 metro stations run by DMRC.	08/10/2026
This Hon'ble Court through its order adtd-09/10/2026 in Writ Petition (Civil) Diary No.63560 of 2026 directed that blanket ban on public movement can't be imposed in such manner.	09/10/2026
The petitioner personally observed at about 09:30 pm on 09/10/2026 that the gates of at least two metros stations have been closed for public.	09/10/2026
The Delhi Metro through its Twitter (X) handle announced that,-“ Due to security reasons, the entry and exit at the following metro stations will remain closed from 09:00 PM on 9th October, 2026 till 06:00 PM on 10th October, 2026. Further the situation will be reviewed by the security agencies and necessary updates will be shared accordingly. However, interchange facility will remain available at all the concerned metro stations except New Delhi metro station.”	09/10/2026

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CONTEMPT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. OF 2026

IN

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) DIARY NO.63560 OF 2026

IN THE MATTER OF:

SHAILENDRA MANI TRIPATHI

██

██████████

████████████████████

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

1. Govind Mohan, IAS

Office Address:

Ministry of Home Affairs,

██

██

██

██ CONTEMNOR NO.01

2. Anurag Kumar, IPS

Office Address:

Delhi Police Headquarters,

██

██

██CONTEMNOR NO.02

3. Ms. D. Thara, IAS (Chairperson, DMRC)

MoHUA Office Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]..CONTEMNOR NO.03

CONTEMPT PETITION UNDER SECTION 12 OF THE
CONTEMPT OF COURTS ACT READ WITH ARTICLE 129
OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, SEEKING INITIATION
OF CONTEMPT PROCEEDINGS AGAINST THE
CONTEMNORS

To

The Hon'ble Chief Justice of India and his lordship's
companion justices of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

The humble petition on behalf of the
petitioner abovenamed

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH:

1. That the petitioner in the instant contempt petition is one of the advocates in the original writ petition and the lead petitioner in the Writ Petition (Criminal) No.280 of 2026 which is related to the police atrocities against the protesters in the protest against NEET paper leak on 20/07/2026.
2. That this petitioner is directly affected with this arbitrary closure of Metro Stations/ gates of metro station and so he is deprived by the actions of Delhi Metro and other authorities.

3. That the instant contempt petition needs immediate intervention of this Hon'ble Court because there is the blatant violations of the orders passed today on 09/10/2026 by this Hon'ble Court, by closing the entry and exit gates of Delhi Metro in-spite of the clear and unambiguous order of this Hon'ble Court that only regulatory measures can be taken to regulate the crowd and it can't be a preventive step.
4. That the petitioner in this contempt petition has personally visited at least two metro station namely GTB Nagar Metro station and the Vishwavidyalay Metro Station, at about 09:30 pm today on 09/10/2026, and found that entry gates have been completely closed and the exit gates are being manually regulated.
5. That at the Vishwavidyalay Metro Station, the entry gates and the exit gates are completely separate and so entry gate was completely closed and only exit gate of open.
6. That the contempt petitioner has personally video-recorded the situation at gate no.2 of the GTB Nager Metro Station, where it can be seen that gate no.2 is locked. The petitioner can share the video with real time location.
7. That the petitioner has personally seen that gate no.1 of GTB Nagar Metro station is completely closed and gate no.3 is being manually regulated and only exit is being allowed.

8. That this act of Delhi Metro is blatant violation of the orders of this Hon'ble Court and greatest disregard for the law, the Constitution of India and the Supreme Court of India.
9. That the petitioner with some of his advocate friends also visited Jantar Mantar today on 09/10/2026 at about 08:30, the time at which today's order was uploaded on the website and saw that no one except the police personnel and the paramilitary personnel were present at Jantar Manta and its nearby places like Connaught Place.
10. That in-spite of the fact that at this time no one except the security forces is present at the protest venue, Jantar-Manta, and no one is going towards that place and so no one can say that what is the situation of law and order and what are the apprehensions to completely close the gates of Delhi Metro stations as per their earlier schedule, and that too without giving any regard to this Courts orders.
11. This the only information which has been shared by the Delhi Metro on its Twitter (X) handle that in place of 57 Metro Station only 45 station will remain closed as per the schedule announced earlier, which has already been produced in this court.
12. That in para-7 and para-8 of today's order dated- 09/10/2026 it is clearly said that,-"It was unequivocally laid down that an absolute ban or total prohibition on essential

public transport is disproportionate and impermissible. This Hon'ble Court directed: "we clarify that although there shall be no outright prohibition on the movement of Metro trains, a prudent decision may be made to permit the operation of Metro trains and other rail services in a suitable and regulated manner. Such a decision should be widely publicised to prevent further inconvenience."

13. That para-9 of the order says that comprehensive traffic advisories for the smooth movement of vehicles be immediately published across print and digital media to eliminate hardship to the commuting public.

14. That this Hon'ble Court further clarified that,-“ "we clarify that although there shall be no outright prohibition on the movement of Metro trains, a prudent decision may be made to permit the operation of Metro trains and other rail services in a suitable and regulated manner. Such a decision should be widely publicized to prevent further inconvenience."

15. That this act of Delhi Metro is a colorable action and it has nothing to do with handling the law and order situation and to

ensure the security of the protesters and it is an arbitrary action for infringement of Fundamental Rights of the citizens guaranteed under Article 19(1)(a) of the Constitution of India.

16. That this brutal assault on the fundamental rights of the citizens and sheer disregard to the orders of this Hon'ble Court amounts to deliberate, intentional attempt on the part of Delhi Metro, Delhi Police and the union government which must be dealt with iron hand.

17. That the contemnors are deliberately, intentionally and knowingly creating lawless condition in the national capital.

PRAYER

It is therefore most respectfully prayed that under the present serious conditions and the facts mentioned above this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to,-

(a) Initiate contempt proceedings under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 129 of the Constitution of India against the Respondents/Contemnors for their deliberate, willful, and contumacious disobedience of the order dated 09.10.2026 passed in W.P. (C) Diary No. 63560 of 2026,

- (b) Issue Notice to the Contemnors/Respondents directing them to appear in person before this Hon'ble Court to show cause why they should not be punished for committing contempt of this Hon'ble Court,
- (c) Pass an urgent ad-interim direction commanding the Respondents to immediately revoke all blanket prohibitory orders against Metro and Railway operations, and enforce a strictly regulated, operational schedule with immediate public notice and
- (d) Pass such other or further order(s) as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the interest of justice and the rule of law.

And for this act of kindness the petitioner shall ever pray

FILED BY
CHAND QURESHI
ADVOCATE FOR THE PETITIONER

Place New Delhi
Drawn on: 09/10/2026
Filed on: 09/10/2026

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
 CONTEMPT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. OF 2026
 IN
 WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) DIARY NO.63560 OF 2026
 IN THE MATTER OF
 SHAILENDRA MATI TRIPATHIPETITIONER
 VERSUS
 GOVIND MOHAN & Ors RESPONDENTS
 AFFIDAVIT

I, Shailendra Mani Tripathi [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED] presently at Delhi, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare
 as under,-

1. That I am the petitioner in the accompanying contempt petitioner and as such I am conversant with the facts and circumstances of this case and competent to swear this affidavit.
2. That the content of the accompanying contempt petitioner (civil) and the application if any has been drafted by the counsel under my instruction and are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.
3. That the content of the accompanying contempt petition (Civil) and the applications if any may be treated as part and parcel of this affidavit.



DEPONENT

VERIFICATION:

Verified at New Delhi on this 10th day of October 2026, that the contents of the above affidavit are correct to my knowledge and belief. Nothing contained herein is untrue and nothing material is concealed therefrom



DEPONENT

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 63560/2026

M A BABY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

WITH

ITEM NO. 803

WRIT PETITION(CRIMINAL) Diary No(s). 63544/2026

Date : 09-10-2026 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JOYMALYA BAGCHI
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE V. MOHANA

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Kapil Sibal, Sr. Adv.
Mr. P.V. Dinesh, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Subhash Chandran K.R., AOR
Mr. Anirudh K.P., Adv.
Ms. Anna Oommen, Adv.
Mr. Fidal Tom, Adv.

Ms. Akriti Chaubey, AOR

Mr. Gopal Sankaranarayanan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Gaurav Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Vishal Sinha, Adv.
Mr. Shourya Das Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Vishal Tiwari, Adv.
Mr. Sanjiv Misra, Adv.
Mr. Shailendra Mani Tripathi, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Signature Not Verified
Digitally signed by
NITIN TALWAR
Date: 2026.10.09
19:23:55 IST
Reason:

In the midst of hearing of today's matters at about 01:00

p.m., an oral mentioning was made by Mr. Kapil Sibal, Mr. Gopal

Sankaranarayanan, Mr. Hariharan N., Mr. C.U. Singh, learned senior

counsel and Ms. Vrinda Grover, Mr. Prashant Bhushan, and other learned counsel, *inter alia*, pointing out two communications dated 08.10.2026 issued from the office of the Joint Commissioner of Police, Transport Range, New Delhi to the Managing Director of the Delhi Metro Railway Corporation (DMRC) and another communication dated 09.10.2026, which seems to have been issued to all the zonal railways.

2. Furthermore, a copy of the order dated 23.08.2026, issued by the Assistant Commissioner of Police purportedly exercising authority under Section 70 of the Delhi Police Act, 1978, and Section 163 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS), which issues certain prohibitory directives, was also referenced.

3. We find from the contents of the aforementioned orders that metro trains and all Mail/Express trains arriving at New Delhi Railway Station and Hazrat Nizamuddin Railway Station have been instructed to cease operations or be cancelled in consideration of the potential risk of unruly mob movements towards sensitive locations. It is also noted that the frequency of metro trains passing through 57 metro stations stands reduced, and their entry and exit gates are to be closed from 9:00 p.m. on October 9, 2026, continuing on 10.10.2026 until the situation normalizes. These prohibitory orders have been made effective from today, that is 09.10.2026.

4. Since the subject orders referred to some proposed protestors at Jantar Mantar, we accorded liberty to the organizers of the protest to submit urgent petitions before this Court, as we were inclined to accord a hearing at 2:00 PM or thereafter.

5. All the learned Senior Counsel, objecting to the cessation of Metro and other rail services, have, however, fairly stated that they do not represent the proposed protesters or the organizers of the said protest. No other petition for or on their behalf has been filed before us.

6. In light of the foregoing, the principal issue for our consideration is whether the absolute prohibition on the movement of Metro trains at Metro stations, as well as the movement of trains from New Delhi and Hazrat Nizamuddin Railway Stations, warrants reconsideration.

7. It appears that the notifications are overreaching, as they impose a complete ban upon essential public transportation. Upon our indication that a distinction exists between total 'prohibition' and the 'regulation' of Metro and other train movements, learned Solicitor General of India has fairly agreed to impress upon the authorities to reassess such prohibitions. Consequently, they will permit the operation of Metro trains and other rail services in such a regulated manner, that no inconvenience is caused to the residents and general public of Delhi, while adhering to the principles of proportionality.

8. Regarding that assurance, we clarify that although there shall be no outright prohibition on the movement of Metro trains, a prudent decision may be made to permit the operation of Metro trains and other rail services in a suitable and regulated manner. Such a decision should be widely publicised to prevent further inconvenience.

9. In this vein, a traffic advisory shall also be published in

respect of smooth movement of vehicles in newspapers and on online platforms to ensure that no hardship or inconvenience is caused to the public in the course of traveling within the city. The order will be issued today to ensure that the decision receives publicity and that there is ample clarity on the prevailing circumstances.

10. During the course of hearing, it was suggested, and learned senior counsel and counsel for the parties were *ad idem*, that it is high time basic amenities were provided to those engaged in peaceful and lawful protest. To that end, suitable alternative sites capable of housing such infrastructure need to be identified and designated. Let the Competent Authority consider this suggestion and take an appropriate decision thereon.

11. Let a compliance report be filed by the Delhi Metro Rail Corporation, the requisite Railway authorities and Delhi Police (Traffic) in this regard within four days.

12. Ordered accordingly.

(NITIN TALREJA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(PREETHI DILEEP KUMAR)
DY. REGISTRAR

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CONTEMPT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. OF 2026

IN

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) DIARY NO.63560 OF 2026

IN THE MATTER OF:

SHAILENDRA MATI TRIPATHIPETITIONER

VERSUS

GOVIND MOHAN & Ors RESPONDENTS

AN APPLICATION SEEKING PERMISSION TO FILE THE
CONTEMPT PETITION

To

The Hon'ble Chief Justice of India and his lordship's
companion justices of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

The humble petition on behalf of the
petitioner abovenamed

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH:

1. That the accompanying contempt petition (Civil) has been preferred by the applicant who is the advocate in the main writ petition and the lead petitioner in the Writ Petition (Criminal) No.280 of 2026 which is related to the police atrocities against the protesters in the protest against NEET paper leak on 20/07/2026.
2. That the petitioner is not a party in the main writ petition having diary no.63560 of 2026, but is extremely affected by the

deliberate, intentional and willful disobedience of the order passed by this Hon'ble Court on 09/10/2026.

3. That to protect the interest of the petitioner and also in the interest of justice, the petitioner may be allowed to file the present contempt petition.

PRAYER

It is therefore most respectfully prayed that under the facts and circumstances of this case, this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to,-

- (a) Allow the petitioner to file the instant contempt petition and/or
- (b) Pass any other/further order/orders as this Hon'ble Court deem fit and proper.

And for this act of kindness the petitioner shall ever pray

FILED BY
CHAND QURESHI
ADVOCATE FOR THE PETITIONER



Place New Delhi
Drawn on: 09/10/2026
Filed on: 09/10/2026

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
 CONTEMPT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. OF 2026
 IN
 WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) DIARY NO.63560 OF 2026
 IN THE MATTER OF
 SHAILENDRA MATI TRIPATHIPETITIONER
 VERSUS
 GOVIND MOHAN & Ors RESPONDENTS
 AN APPLICATION SEEKING PERMISSION TO FILE DULY
 SHOWN AFFIDAVIT

To

The Hon'ble Chief Justice of India and his lordship's
 companion justices of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

The humble petition on behalf of the
 petitioner abovenamed

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH:

1. That the accompanying contempt petition (Civil) has been preferred by the applicant who is the advocate in the main writ petition and the lead petitioner in the Writ Petition (Criminal) No.280 of 2026 which is related to the police atrocities against the protesters in the protest against NEET paper leak on 20/07/2026.
2. That as it is the night time when the petitioner found that the orders passed by this Hon'ble Court is being blatantly violated

and tomorrow he and lakhs of people of Delhi won't be able to use the Delhi Metro services through 45 metro station so the petitioner is not able to file the duly shown affidavit.

3. That there will be irreparable losses if the matter is not brought before this Hon'ble Court in the night itself.
4. That the petitioner undertakes that he will file the duly shown affidavit as and when the court is open and the oath commissioner is available to him.

PRAYER

It is therefore most respectfully prayed that under the facts and circumstances of this case, this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to,-

- (a) Exempt the petitioner from filing the duly shown affidavit with the accompanying contempt petition.
- (b) Pass any other/further order/orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the interest of justice.

And for this act of kindness the petitioner
shall ever pray

FILED BY
CHAND QURESHI
ADVOCATE FOR THE PETITIONER



Place New Delhi
Drawn on: 09/10/2026
Filed on: 09/10/2026

VAKALATNAMA
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CONTEMPT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. OF 2026
IN
WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) DIARY NO.63560 OF 2026
IN THE MATTER OF:

Shailendra Mani Tripathi

..... **Petitioner (s)**

Versus

Govind Mohan & Ors.

..... **Respondent (s)**

I/we **Shailendra Mani Tripathi** Petitioner(s)/Appellant(s)/Respondent(s) in the above Appeal/Petition do hereby appoint and retain **Chand Qureshi** Advocates Supreme Court to act and appear for me/us in the above Appeal/Petition/Review and on my/our behalf, to conduct and prosecute/defend the same and all proceedings that may be taken in respect to any application connected with the same of any Decree or Order passed therein, including proceedings in taxation and application for review, to file and obtain return of documents, and deposit and receive any money on my/our behalf in the said Appeal/Petition and in application for Review, and to represent me/us and to take all necessary steps on my/our behalf in the above matter, I/We agree to pay his fees and out of pocket expenses, agree to ratify all acts done by the aforesaid Advocate in pursuance of this authority.

Dated this the09th..... day ofOctober..... 2026

ACCEPTED, IDENTIFIED AND ATTESTED




Petitioner(s)/Respondent(s)/Caveator(s)

MEMO OF APPEARANCE

To
 The Registrar
 Supreme Court of India
 New Delhi

Sir, kindly enter my appearance on behalf of the petitioner(s)/Respondent(s) in the above mentioned matter.

New Delhi
 Filed on:

Yours Faithfully



IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
 CONTEMPT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. OF 2026
 IN
 WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) DIARY NO.63560 OF 2026
 IN THE MATTER OF
 SHAILENDRA MATI TRIPATHIPETITIONER
 VERSUS
 GOVIND MOHAN & Ors RESPONDENTS

FILING INDEX

Sl. No.	Particulars	Copies	Court Fee
1.	Contempt Petition (Civil) No. of 2026 in Writ Petition (Civil) No.63560 of 2026.		
2.	One Annexures.	1	
3.	Two Applications.	1	
4.	Vakalatnama and Memo of appearance	1	



Filed On:-09/10/2026

Chand Qureshi
 Advocate for the Petitioners
 CC No.3036